



AMERICAN HUMANICS

*Initiative for
Nonprofit
Sector Careers*

Workforce Issues in the Nonprofit Sector


*Generational leadership
change and diversity*



By R. Patrick Halpern

AMERICAN HUMANICS

Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers



Workforce Issues in the Nonprofit Sector

Generational leadership change and diversity

By R. Patrick Halpern

February 2006

(Includes research released through January 2006)

Acknowledgements

American Humanics and Patrick Halpern would like to thank all of those who contributed to this project. The input of the following persons was particularly helpful: Robert Ashcraft, Arizona State University; Russ Cargo, Virginia Tech; Shelly Cryer, consultant to American Humanics; Norm Dolch, Louisiana State University-Shreveport; Angela Eikenberry, Virginia Tech; Pablo Eisenberg, Georgetown University; Mark Hager, University of Texas-San Antonio; Heather Iliff, Alliance for Nonprofit Management; Kathy Kretman, Georgetown University; Les Lenkowsky, Indiana University; Paul Schmitz, Public Allies; Max Stephenson, Virginia Tech; and Michael Watson, Girl Scouts of America. Additionally, the author would like to acknowledge the considerable editorial assistance provided by Courtney Herron and Jonathon Simcosky.

The author is grateful for the insights provided by many experts in the field of nonprofit sector studies. However, he is solely responsible for the content of this report and any errors contained within it.

A significant amount of research has focused upon the state of the nonprofit sector workforce in recent years. Scholarly and practical publications concerning nonprofit and philanthropic studies have offered numerous accounts of nonprofit workforce and human resource issues. Executive turnover and transition are perhaps the most documented of these matters.¹ Several studies indicate that within the next five years, a significant number – if not a majority – of nonprofit executive directors plan to step down or retire.² Related to this development, recent national demographic trends present another pressing issue the nonprofit sector must face, especially as it relates to leadership transition and leadership development; as baby boomers begin to retire, nonprofit organizations must prepare for the subsequent intergenerational leadership changes in ways that reflect the increased diversity of communities within the United States. The sector must also deal with its long-standing recruitment and retention problems, especially in light of the fact that the public sector is beginning to mount an aggressive recruitment campaign of its own.³ The literature related to these trends has raised some concern regarding both the health and the future of the sector, but it also has pointed to new sources of labor. As more baby boomers work into what was previously considered their retirement years, the nonprofit sector may be able to utilize their skills and expertise as well as their desire to engage in meaningful work later in life.⁴

This brief paper is intended to serve as a resource for practitioners, researchers, funders, and advocates within the nonprofit sector by providing a point-in-time snapshot of nonprofit sector workforce issues. Research on the sector constantly is revealing new insights and accepted best practices. As such, this study should not be considered a comprehensive overview of workforce issues within the sector. The paper reviews a selection of existing literature covering workforce issues within the nonprofit sector from 1995 to the present. Additionally, considering the enormous diversity within the sector in terms of organizational size, scope, sub-sector, etc., the studies included in this analysis may not be applicable to or representative of all organizations operating within the sector. Nonetheless, the paper provides relevant, general insights into the current state of the nonprofit workforce, especially insights related to executive turnover, diversity, and the next generation of nonprofit employees.

This paper begins, first, by outlining some general demographic trends within the United States' (US) workforce which suggest that the country is growing increasingly diverse and that a very large proportion of the workforce – specifically, the baby boomers – is approaching retirement. Both of these trends present significant challenges and opportunities to the nation, as well as its organizations and communities. Second, the paper explores how these demographic changes are affecting nonprofit organizations within the US, specifically focusing on the growing concerns regarding executive turnover and transition, as well as more basic issues related to the recruitment and retention of a healthy workforce. The section which follows highlights the complexities of the composition of the sector in terms of race, ethnicity and gender and reflects on the need to foster more diversity in organizational leadership and create organizations that do more than manage diversity, but actually promote inclusiveness. Finally, the paper recommends issues that warrant further research.

As Paul Light's research substantiates, one of the nonprofit sector's most valuable resources is its workforce.⁵ Light found nonprofit employees to be highly motivated, hard working, and deeply committed, but he also discovered that "nonprofit employees experience high levels of stress and burn out, and report that their organizations do not provide enough training and staff to succeed."⁶ Despite these problems, Light found the healthiest workforce in America was working in the nonprofit sector. However, in order to sustain the health of the nonprofit workforce, researchers, practitioners, and advocates must consider – and act upon – the issues raised in this analysis. The effectiveness of nonprofit organizations and the health of our nation's communities are dependent upon the strength of the nonprofit sector workforce.

General trends in the US workforce

The US is in the midst of two significant demographic trends that will alter both the workforce and workplace: the population is simultaneously aging and growing more diverse. It should come as no surprise that the US population is growing older, as the largest age cohort (baby boomers) enters retirement. In 2000, there was a relatively even population distribution among the various age cohorts, with the 20 – 44 year old group representing the largest percentage at 36.9%.⁷ By 2010, however, the over 45 age group is expected to account for 39.2% of Americans, while the 20 – 44 year old group will decrease to 33.8% and the under 19 cohort will decline to 26.9%.⁸ This trend is projected to continue until 2020, when the over 45 year old cohort will plateau at 41.2% and then begin to decline.⁹ As further explored below, this trend is expected to have a tremendous effect on the stability of organizations, as the vast majority of executive directors are within the 45 and older age group.¹⁰

What may be unexpected, however, is that several recent studies reveal that older Americans are working longer, often into what generally are considered retirement years.¹¹ Since 1985, the labor “participation rates for persons aged 55 – 64 and 65 and older have increased, most noticeably in the case of women between the ages of 55 and 64.”¹² Based on US Bureau of Labor Statistics projections, by 2010, 17% of workers in the US will be 55 years of age and older, an increase from 13% in 2000. By 2050, this segment of the population is expected to account for almost 20% of the workforce.¹³ A recent survey found that 65% of baby boomers plan to work in some capacity throughout their retirement years and more than half of these respondents would like to find work that enhances the well-being of others, such as work in the education, health and human services sub-sectors.¹⁴

These shifting demographics could potentially lead to an enormous source of volunteer labor for nonprofit organizations. However, before organizations are able to capitalize on this development they should consider several interrelated issues. First, organizations may be well-positioned to take advantage of this burgeoning trend by providing volunteer and career opportunities for the many aging baby boomers looking to engage in meaningful work in their retirement years. Several studies report that retiring baby boomers are interested in making a difference in the lives of others through volunteering; they desire variety in their activities, and “they insist that these opportunities be professionally managed with incentives that speak to their own personal and economic needs.”¹⁵ Second, and related to this point, the sector as a whole may not have the infrastructure needed to absorb the volunteer labor provided by retiring boomers. One study suggests that more volunteer opportunities must be provided and more organizations need to professionalize their management of volunteers.¹⁶ Finally, organizations need to do a better job of reaching out to older volunteers who are interested in giving something back to their communities.¹⁷ A survey of Americans age 50 to 70 clearly demonstrates this point, as 48% believe that it would be difficult to very difficult to find such opportunities.

Future leadership and the next generation workforce

The aging of the baby boomer population will greatly affect leadership in nonprofit organizations. Recent research confirms that executive turnover and transition will be a reality for a majority of nonprofit organizations, regardless of size and scope.¹⁸ Moreover, there exists some concern as to who will assume these vacating leadership positions. Recruitment and retention of non-leadership positions is another area that organizations must improve upon as competition with the public and private sectors continues to increase and as recent graduates are strapped with increasing levels of educational debt.

Executive turnover and transition have received a significant amount of attention from both scholars and practitioners, and for good reason. Recent studies show that a majority of our nation's nonprofit organizations have an executive director who is 50 years of age or older and intends to retire or leave his or her position within the next five years.¹⁹ The most recent of these studies, conducted by the Annie E. Casey Foundation, revealed that 65% of the surveyed organizations expect to experience leadership turnover within the next five years, and that the executive director at 55% of the organizations was at least 50 years of age.²⁰ These findings are consistent with previous studies which suggested that by 2007, between 61 and 78% of executive directors will leave their nonprofit organizations.²¹ Consequently, most US nonprofit organizations can expect to navigate the often bewildering waters of executive transition in the next year. While a variety of executive transition management (ETM) services exist for organizations experiencing such a transition, organizations facing transitions almost inevitably will face difficult, crucial questions.²² Executive transitions can and should be a time for significant organizational growth, reflection, and capacity building.²³

Who will assume these soon-to-be-vacant leadership positions? Does the sector have enough experienced leaders to fill these vacancies? The answers to these questions are difficult to determine. Research shows that those persons who are well-positioned to assume leadership roles in their respective organizations (such as deputy or associate directors) are generally younger than the typical executive director,²⁴ but it also shows that most organizations tend to hire externally²⁵ and that being an executive director is usually a one-time experience.²⁶ Moreover, while deputy and associate directors tend to be slightly younger and more diverse than the average executive director, most organizations do not have a deputy or associate director.²⁷ Approximately 26 – 36% of all surveyed organizations have a deputy or associate director, although only 13% of small organizations report to have a deputy or associate director.²⁸

Efforts to encourage the development of a younger generation of nonprofit executives may be difficult due to perceived generational differences. Research on intergenerational leadership and transition suggests that potential young leaders may be stifled in their current positions; emerging young leaders have reported that they often feel invisible or underappreciated by the older leaders in the sector.²⁹ Furthermore, this research also suggests that the popular axioms regarding Generation X and the Millennials are simply not true; these generations are highly motivated and are just as committed to their work as older generations, but they appear to be more concerned about balancing the competing demands of work and family.³⁰ For many organizations, institutionalizing an intergenerational mentoring system³¹ may be helpful in overcoming both stereotypes and invisibility.³² Furthermore, institutionalized mentoring systems may help to encourage the development of new leaders within the sector who may then be encouraged to pursue one of the many potentially vacating executive positions.

Another issue of concern to most organizations is the recruitment and retention of non-leadership staff positions. Generally speaking, human resource management is problematic for the majority of nonprofit organizations. According to a recent survey of 425 San Francisco Bay area organizations, 82% of those surveyed had someone other than a human resource specialist in charge of human resource administration, i.e. an executive director or an administrative assistant.³³ In 2002, Independent Sector reported that the nonprofit sector has a higher average annual turnover rate (3.1%) than both the private (2.7%) and public (1%) sectors.³⁴ Another survey found that only 46% of organizations that experienced turnover are planning to replace the vacant positions.³⁵ This finding is not surprising, considering the recent studies that estimate that the cost to an organization for hiring a new employee averages \$4,522.³⁶

Other research has uncovered an alarming phenomenon: despite the fact that only 8% of the sample population's positions were vacant, 64% reported that staff turnover and

vacancies have a high impact on their organizations. Forty percent of the organizations surveyed responded to high turnover by raising staff salaries, 45% increased benefits, 26% retained under-performing staff, and 22% postponed or canceled new programs.³⁷ These last two responses are of great concern, as they indicate the undesirable effect that turnover may present to organizational performance and the provision of community services.

One factor that may influence the high turnover rate in organizations is the fact that recent graduates are entering the workforce with a greater debt burden than previous generations.³⁸ Recent research also has found that in 2000, three out of four graduates entering the nonprofit sector had educational debt, which is a higher percentage than those who enter the public or private sector.³⁹ To make matters worse, these new entrants are typically paid less than their colleagues who enter one of the other sectors: 21.5% less than the private sector and 10.9% less than the public sector.⁴⁰ As should be expected, given these figures, nonprofit employees were found to pay down their debt much more slowly than government or private sector employees.⁴¹ These trends raise serious concerns about the ability to retain recent graduates. In fact, the study concluded that “several indicators suggest that low pay and flat organizational structures lower the overall satisfaction rates for graduates entering the nonprofit sector and may contribute to higher turnover.”⁴²

In order to combat these human resource problems, nonprofit organizations may be served well by creating or professionalizing their existing human resource unit, engaging senior staff in human resource training, and utilizing external resources such as consultants.⁴³ Other strategies used to recruit and retain talent include examining current organizational salaries and benefits, organizational culture, job definitions, professional development opportunities, and performance management systems.⁴⁴ Organizations must plan for departures, hires, and vacancies as a constant organizational reality and minimize the impact of vacancies by budgeting for turnover and initiating a process of succession planning.⁴⁵

Diversity and inclusiveness

The US population is growing more diverse. According to the 2000 US Census, the population is 69.4% white, 12.7% African American, 12.6% Latino, 3.8% Asian, and 2.5% other races or ethnicities.⁴⁶ Over the next 5 – 45 years, however, the US Census projects greater growth in the minority population vis-à-vis the white population, such that by 2050 approximately 30% of the US population will be composed of minorities.⁴⁷ Other projections suggest that as early as 2025 minorities could compose as much as 32% of the US population;⁴⁸ the fastest growing minority cohorts being Latinos and Asians.⁴⁹ It is expected that all “minorities will constitute more than half of net new entrants to the US workforce” over the next 15 years.⁵⁰ Although in some states, such as California and other western states, projected growth rates for Latinos and Asians may be noticeably higher.⁵¹ All of this research points to the fact that the nonprofit sector must respond to the continued diversification of the US population by continuing to (or, in some instances, beginning to) recruit, train, and retain persons of color at all organizational levels.

According to a 2002 Independent Sector analysis, the nonprofit sector employs a greater proportion of African Americans and a smaller proportion of Latinos as compared to the public and private sectors.⁵² However, as this section details, the numbers of minorities – including women – in positions of power and influence (such as executive and leadership positions in both philanthropic and nonprofit organizations) indicate the sector could improve significantly its hiring of underserved populations in positions of leadership. Similarly, several studies suggest that the overall lack of racial and ethnic diversity in organizations can make the organizational culture alienating for persons of color but that organizations must do more than hire diverse personnel to create organizations that are truly inclusive.

In terms of demographic composition, the nonprofit sector is approximately 82% white, 10% African American, 5% Latino, 3% other, and 1% Asian or Pacific Islander.⁵³ There is, however, significant variation between the sub-sectors. For instance, African Americans have a higher representation (16%) in the health services, social services and legal services sub-sectors, while Latinos have a slightly greater representation (6.7%) in the arts and culture sub-sector. When examining the diversity of leaders in terms of race, ethnicity and gender in US nonprofit and philanthropic organizations, there is great cause for concern, as most positions of power continue to be held by white men. Two recent surveys support this claim; the surveys found that between 75 and 84% of nonprofit organizations are led by whites, approximately 10% by African Americans, 6% Asian, and 4% Latino.⁵⁴ Even more discouraging are the figures for leaders of philanthropic organizations: nine and a half out of ten have a white leader.

In terms of gender, most surveys find that the sector is largely comprised of women (68%);⁵⁵ although, again, there is some variation among the sub-sectors.⁵⁶ Regardless of the exact number, it is apparent that the nonprofit sector has a significantly higher percentage of female employees compared to the total US workforce, which is 46% female.⁵⁷ Correspondingly, executive directors of nonprofit organizations are predominately women (approximately 60%). This figure is somewhat misleading, however, as women typically run smaller nonprofit organizations, in terms of both budget and staff.⁵⁸ While men lead close to 40% of all organizations, they run 55% of organizations with budgets greater than \$5 million.⁵⁹ A recent study of the human services sub-sector drew related conclusions; it revealed that a glass ceiling still exists in these organizations.⁶⁰ The study concluded that men are disproportionately represented in management, particularly upper-level management, whereas women have a high representation at the direct service and lower management levels.

Additionally, women are typically paid less than men for the same position.⁶¹ According to a national CompassPoint survey, the disparity is particularly great in large organizations (\$5 – 10 million), where women earn on average \$82,314 compared to men's average earnings of \$98,739.⁶² The gender gap in pay persists in all organizations, even when controlling for organizational size.⁶³ As the CompassPoint survey and others suggest, the gap tends to increase with organizational size; the gap in the smallest organizations (budgets less than \$250,000) is 2.9% and it is 53.8% in the largest organizations (budgets greater than \$50 million).⁶⁴ Several strategies have been suggested for resolving such remuneration inequities, which include educating the public about the issue; engaging female co-workers in salary discussions; lobbying professional associations to play a more active role in the adoption of professional standards; and policy advocacy.⁶⁵

Taken together, these figures on racially diverse and female leadership indicate that white men still are tied to leadership positions in the most powerful and prestigious organizations in the sector, despite the fact that women account for 70% of the sector's workforce. While these are larger structural and societal issues (institutional racism, glass ceilings, etc.), underserved populations should not be complacent about creating organizational and sectoral change.

A growing amount of scholarly and practical research has been directed toward this effort of creating organizations that are not only diverse, but inclusive and accepting of difference. Most often, when people think about diversity initiatives they consider diversity training or some other type of educational workshop aimed at educating personnel about cultural differences and cross-cultural communication. Several years ago, a "survey of public and private human resource specialists revealed that over 70% of diversity training programs are only one day or less in length."⁶⁶ In order to foster cultural competencies and develop inclusive organizations in which the culture is not alienating to minorities, organizations must move beyond half-day workshops aimed at managing diversity.⁶⁷ Transforming organizational culture toward inclusiveness requires changing attitudes about cultural differences and cannot be achieved in a one day workshop; although that may be a good place to start.⁶⁸

Research on organizations working toward inclusiveness reveals several important factors regarding the process. First, the most important mitigating factor in the success of an organization's diversity efforts is the commitment of its leadership.⁶⁹ This commitment must be long-term and should integrate inclusiveness holistically into the organization.⁷⁰ A comprehensive approach aimed at changing organizational culture and practices should examine external elements, such as how an organization relates to underserved populations, and internal elements, including the recruitment, retention, and treatment of diverse staff members.⁷¹ Second, funder support is critical.⁷² Activities that build organizational inclusiveness, such as creating diversity committees, hiring consultants, engaging in needs assessments, developing actionable plans, initiating trainings and changing policies all require the financial support of funders. Finally, special attention must be given to recruitment and retention of diverse staff. Those organizations that are most inclusive tend to have very high leadership commitment to hiring diverse staff and reach out to communities of color in the hiring process.⁷³ Additionally, the most critical retention factor is making sure employees of color know that the leadership is committed to inclusiveness.⁷⁴ Research indicates that barriers to creating an inclusive workplace include insufficient time or financial resources, conflicting priorities, failed integration with organizational mission, and a flawed understanding of oppression and diversity.⁷⁵

Future steps

As this paper suggests, nonprofit organizations must confront many human resource challenges in the coming years. However, the sector must begin to take proactive steps if it desires to maintain the quality of its workforce, because, as Light warns: “[g]one are the days when the nonprofit sector could count on a steady stream of new recruits willing to accept the stress, burnout, and persistent lack of resources that come with a nonprofit job.”⁷⁶ With the support of foundations and other funders, the nation's nonprofit organizations will be able to navigate these upcoming challenges; however, the sector would be served well by research in the following issue areas. First, more research should explore the career plans of those persons well-positioned to take over organizations when the existing executive director steps down: Are current deputy and associate directors interested in assuming leadership positions? More importantly, perhaps, are these people well-suited for the demands of the sector. Second, research should examine the use of mentoring as a strategy to prepare organizations for succession and transition. For instance, does having an institutionalized mentoring system increase the likelihood that a deputy or associate director would pursue a leadership position? Third, inter-organizational collaboration in the realm of hiring should be further explored. Research that documents successful cases of organizations combining their resources to be effective at human resource management could be examined. Fourth, innovative retention strategies for recent graduates with high educational debt should be examined further. Finally, more research should be devoted to effective practices that encourage inclusive workplaces. Exploring strategies and methods that employees can utilize to foster inclusiveness when leadership displays no commitment to such initiatives may be useful.

Nonprofit organizations perform critical roles in our nation's communities. But the continued effectiveness of these organizations is dependent upon a healthy workforce and stable leadership; both of which should reflect the diversity of our communities. All stakeholders that have an interest in the health of the nonprofit sector and its workforce should consider the issues discussed in this analysis. The nonprofit sector may continue to be the nation's healthiest workforce, but not without significant investments in the next generation of nonprofit leaders.

Endnotes

¹ See Adams, T. (2004). *Capturing the power of leadership change: Using executive transition management to strengthen organizational capacity*; Annie E. Casey Foundation. (2003). Community based organizations and executive leadership transitions: A survey of Annie E. Casey Community Based Grantees; Axelrod, N. (2002). *Chief executive succession planning: The board's role in securing your organization's future*; Hinden, D. R., & Hull, P. (2002). Executive leadership transition: What we know; Randall, G., & Maas, S. (2004). *Executive director tenure and transition in southern New*; Wolfred, T. (2005). *Interim executive directors: The power in the middle*.

² See Annie E. Casey (2003); Hinden and Hull (2002); Peters, J., & Wolfred, T. (2001). *Daring to lead: Nonprofit executive directors and their work experience*; Teegarden, P. H. (2004). *Nonprofit executive leadership and transitions survey 2004*.

³ See, for instance, Partnership for Public Service, a nonprofit organization dedicated to recruiting the next generation of government employees (<http://www.ourpublicservice.org>).

⁴ See Brown, K. S. (2003). *Staying ahead of the curve 2003: The AARP working in retirement study*; Civic Ventures. (2005). *New face of work survey*; Johnson, C., Cobb, M., Parel, M., Bouvier, M., & Fauss, J. (2004). *50+ volunteering: Working for stronger communities*; Rix, S. E. (2004). Aging and work – A view from the United States.

⁵ Light, P. (2002). The content of their character: The state of the nonprofit workforce.

⁶ *ibid*, p. 6.

⁷ The 45 and over cohort accounted for 34.5 % and the under 19 group was 28.5 %. See US Census Bureau (2004), U.S. interim Projections by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: Table 2a. Projected population of the United States by age and sex: 2000 - 2050"

⁸ *ibid*

⁹ *ibid*

¹⁰ Numerous studies have shown that between 48 – 64 % of nonprofit executives are age 50 and older. See Annie E. Casey (2003); Fernandopulle, A., Masaoka, J., & Parsa, P. (2002). *On the rise: A profile of women of color in leadership*; Peters and Wolfred, (2001); and Teegarden, (2004).

¹¹ See Brown, K. S. (2003). *Staying ahead of the curve 2003: The AARP working in retirement study*; Civic Ventures. (2005). *New face of work survey*; Johnson, C., Cobb, M., Parel, M., Bouvier, M., & Fauss, J. (2004). *50+ volunteering: Working for stronger communities*; Rix, S. E. (2004). Aging and work – A view from the United States.

¹² Rix (2004), p. ii.

¹³ Brown (2003).

¹⁴ Civic Ventures (2005).

¹⁵ Johnson, Cobb, Parel, Bouvier, and Fauss (2004), p.14. The authors were referring to a finding from a 2002 Civic Venture's survey that approximately 50 % of volunteers and non-volunteers would be willing to provide 15 hours of work per week if they were modestly compensated. Such compensation could include discounts on prescriptions drugs, college credit, tax credits, or some type of stipend. See Hart, P. (2002). *The new face of retirement: An ongoing survey of american attitudes on aging*. San Francisco: Civic Ventures.

¹⁶ Johnson, C.. (2003). The strength of the infrastructure of volunteer agencies and its capacity to absorb 'Baby Boomer' volunteers. Paper Presented at the Conference on Baby Boomers and Retirement: Impact on Civic Engagement, October 8-10.

¹⁷ Civic Ventures (2005).

¹⁸ Teegarden, P. H.. (2004). *Nonprofit Executive Leadership and Transitions Survey 2004*. Research by Mangance Consulting in Collaboration with TransitionGuides, Funded in part by the Annie E. Casey Foundation.

¹⁹ The numbers vary slightly among the various studies, but the general trend holds true. See, for instance, Annie E. Casey Foundation (2003). Community based organizations and executive leadership transitions: A survey of Annie E. Casey Community Based Grantees. This survey of 129 organizations found that 64% of organizations' executive directors were over 50 years of age and 63% plan to leave within five years. Birdsell, D., & Muzzio, D. (2003). The next leaders: UWNYPC grantee leadership development and succession management needs. A report to the United Way of New York City. New York: School of Public Affairs, Baruch College. This survey of 341 organizations found that 45% of

executive directors will be leaving in five years. Peters, J., and Wolfred, T. (2001). *Daring to lead: Nonprofit executive directors and their work experience*. San Francisco: CompassPoint Nonprofit Services. Surveying 1,072 organizations, the authors discovered that 49% of the executive directors were over 50 years of age and that 35% of them will leave in less than two years. Teegarden (2004). The most recent and most comprehensive of these surveys (it included more than 2,200 organizations) found that 65% of organizations will experience a leadership change in five years and that 55% of executive directors are age 50 or older.

²⁰ Teegarden (2004).

²¹ Hinden and Hull (2002).

²² Such questions may relate to the following issues; hiring someone from inside or outside the organization; utilizing an executive search firm and/or executive transition management services; and revisiting the organization's vision and strategic plan.

²³ ETM services can aid boards with assessment, hiring and transition implementation in order to ensure the organization realizes the benefits from the transition and research on ETM has shown that it can increase both executive tenure and promote healthy organizations, Adams (2005).

²⁴ Hinden and Hull (2002); Teegarden (2004).

²⁵ Fernandopulle, Masaoka, and Parsa (2002). In their survey of 49 female executive directors of color in the San Francisco Bay Area, 43% were hired externally; Peters and Wolfred, (2001), reported that 64% of the 1,072 executive directors they surveyed were hired externally; Wolfred, T., Allison, M., & Masaoka, J. (1999). *Leadership Lost: A Study of Executive Director Tenure and Experience*. San Francisco: CompassPoint Nonprofit Services. In their survey of 137 organizations, 73% had hired their executive director externally.

²⁶ The 2003 Annie E. Casey Foundation survey found that 69% of the 129 executive directors were in their first leadership position and would not pursue another one in the future; the 2003 United Way survey of 341 organizations in New York City reported that 72% of the executive directors were in their first and only leadership position; Peters and Wolfred, (2001), found that almost two-thirds of the 1,072 executive directors they surveyed were first-time executive directors.

²⁷ Hinden and Hull (2002); Teegarden (2004); 30% of deputy directors are under the age of 40 while 15% of executive directors are under the age of 40. Thirty-five percent of executive directors are between the ages of 41 – 50 as compared to 29% of executive directors.

²⁸ *Ibid*. Teegarden (2004) classifies small organizations as having a budget of less than \$500,000 and less than 6 full-time employees.

²⁹ Kunreuther, F. (2005). *Up Next: Generation Change and the Leadership of Nonprofit Organizations*. Executive Transitions Monograph Series, Volume 4. Baltimore, MD: Annie E. Casey Foundation.

³⁰ *Ibid*; Kunreuther, F. (2003). The changing of the guard: What generational differences tell us about social-change organizations.

³¹ Williams, K. (2005). *Mentoring the Next Generation of Nonprofit Leaders: A Practical Guide for Managers*. Washington, DC: AED Center for Leadership Development, Academy for Educational Development. Intergenerational mentoring systems, according to Williams, should be mutually beneficial and predicated on an expanded employee orientation; the development and appreciation of character; skill and competency development; open discussions on a broad range of topics; the assignment of tasks and projects that facilitate the mentee's professional development; and should include an action plan that highlights the goals and objectives of the process.

³² *Ibid*

³³ Peters, J., Fernandopulle, A., Masaoka, J., Chan, C., and Wolfred, T. (2002). *Help Wanted: Turnover and Vacancy in Nonprofits*. San Francisco: CompassPoint Nonprofit Services.

³⁴ Weitzman, M. S., Jalandoni, N., Lampkin, L. M., & Pollak, T. H. (2002). *The New Nonprofit Almanac & Desk Reference: The Essential Facts and Figures for Managers, Researchers, and Volunteers*.

³⁵ Bridgestar. (2005). Bridgestar survey report: The state of nonprofit hiring..

³⁶ Schwinn, E., & Sommerfeld, M. (2002). Revolving-door dilemma: High turnover of fund raisers causes big problems at charities,".

³⁷ Peters, Fernandopulle, Masaoka, Chan, and Wolfred. (2002)

- ³⁸ Ballard, A. (2005). Understanding the next generation of nonprofit employees: The impact of educational debt. A Study Conducted for The Building Movement Project; Boushey, H. (2005). Student debt: Bigger and bigger.
- ³⁹ Ballard (2005).
- ⁴⁰ *ibid*
- ⁴¹ *ibid*
- ⁴² *ibid*, p. 11.
- ⁴³ Ban, C., Drahnak, A., & Towers, M. (2003). Human resource challenges in human service and community development organizations: Recruitment and retention of professional staff.
- ⁴⁴ Peters, Fernandopulle, Masaoka, Chan, and Wolfred (2002).
- ⁴⁵ *ibid*
- ⁴⁶ US Census Bureau (2004), U.S. interim projections by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: Table 1a. Projected population of the United States by race and Hispanic origin: 2000 – 2050.” These percentages do include a duplicative count and, therefore, add up to 101 %. That is because the Census includes persons of Latino origin in the count for whites and African Americans. The break-out is as follows: 81 % white alone, 12.7 % black alone, 3.8 % Asian alone, and 2.5 % all other races. They differentiated these figures further, stating 12.6 % are Latino (of any race) and 69.4 % are white alone, not Latino.
- ⁴⁷ *ibid*.
- ⁴⁸ Diversity Pipeline Alliance (2003). *Building leaders, building business: Improving minority participation in business*; this variation in estimates can be explained by the Census’s tendency to underestimate net immigration levels, according to, Judy, R. W., & D’Amico (1997.) *Workforce 2020: Work and Workers in the 21st Century*.
- ⁴⁹ Karoly, L. A., & Panis, C. W. A (2004). *The 21st century at work: Forces shaping the future workforce and workplace in the United States*. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Labor. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation.
- ⁵⁰ Judy and D’Amico (1997): p. 6.
- ⁵¹ Judy and D’Amico (1997).
- ⁵² Weitzman, Jalandoni, Lampkin, and Pollak (2002).
- ⁵³ These figures are averages from two separate analyses: Light, 2002 (whites 84%, African Americans 7%, and Latino 4%); Weitzman, Jalandoni, Lampkin, and Pollak, 2002 (whites 81%, African Americans 13.6%, and Latinos 5.4%). Weitzman, et al’s analysis only included whites, African Americans, and Latinos.
- ⁵⁴ Peters and Wolfred, 2001, found 75% of organizations are led by white persons, 9.4% by African Americans, 6.4% by Asians, and 3.2% by Latinos; Teegarden, 2005, had similar findings: 84% white, 10% African American, and 4% Latino.
- ⁵⁵ Light (2002); Weitzman, Jalandoni, Lampkin, and Pollak (2002).
- ⁵⁶ Both religious as well as arts and cultural organizations are approximately 45% female, while health service organizations are almost 80% female. See Weitzman, Jalandoni, Lampkin, and Pollak (2002).
- ⁵⁷ *ibid*
- ⁵⁸ Peters and Wolfred (2001); Teegarden (2005); GuideStar (2004). *2004 GuideStar nonprofit compensation report: Demonstration and contents*.
- ⁵⁹ Peters and Wolfred (2001).
- ⁶⁰ Gibelman, M. (2000). The nonprofit sector and gender discrimination: A preliminary investigation into the glass ceiling.
- ⁶¹ A 1994 analysis of the public, private, and nonprofit sectors found that this claim was true for all sectors. See Burbridge, L. C. (1994). *The glass ceiling in different sectors of the economy: Differences between government, non-profit, and for-profit organizations*. A Report Prepared for the Glass Ceiling Commission, U.S. Department of Labor.
- ⁶² Gibelman (2000).
- ⁶³ GuideStar (2004).
- ⁶⁴ *ibid*
- ⁶⁵ Gibelman, M. (2003). So how far have we come? Pestilent and persistent gender gap in pay.
- ⁶⁶ Riccucci, N. M. (1997). Cultural diversity programs to prepare for work force 2000: What’s gone wrong? p. 40.

⁶⁷ Hyde, Cheryl A. (2003). "Multicultural Organizational Development in Nonprofit Human Service Agencies: View from the Field," *Journal of Community Practice*, 11(1), 39-59.

⁶⁸ *ibid*; Katherine Pease & Associates (2003). Inside inclusiveness: Race, ethnicity, and nonprofit organizations in metro Denver; Linnell, D., and Belton, E. (2003). *Nonprofit effectiveness –Inclusiveness matters: The case for dialogues that reach across difference*. Obviously, the content of such initiatives is more important than how much time is involved in the process. Hyde (2003) indicates that these interventions typically involve the development of staff competencies related to the "acquisition of culturally relevant knowledge, skills, and values so as to better serve ethnically or racially diverse populations" or "valuing diversity, cultural sensitivity and cross-cultural communication" (p.43).

⁶⁹ *ibid*; Bartlett, C. V. (2003). Beyond numbers and compliance: Valuing of cultural diversity in national nonprofit capacity-building organizations.

⁷⁰ Bartlett (2003); Katherine Pease & Associates (2003).

⁷¹ Katherine Pease & Associates (2003).

⁷² Linnell and Belton (2003).

⁷³ Katherine Pease & Associates (2003).

⁷⁴ *ibid*

⁷⁵ Linnell and Belton (2003).

⁷⁶ Light (2002) p.6.

About American Humanics and the *Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers*

American Humanics (www.humanics.org) is a national alliance of 72 colleges and universities and 21 national nonprofit partners. Our mission is to educate, prepare, and certify professionals to lead and strengthen nonprofit organizations. We are the only national organization focusing on undergraduate nonprofit management education. American Humanics' *Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers* is a national campaign to recruit, prepare, and retain the next generation of nonprofit sector leadership, and ensure that this leadership represents the diversity of the populations our sector serves. In 2006, American Humanics agreed to facilitate the launch of the Nonprofit Sector Workforce Coalition – a broad-based coalition of national organizations working collectively on (a) connecting talented, skilled, and diverse young people to nonprofit sector careers; and (b) helping nonprofit organizations recruit, retain, and cultivate the leadership they will need in the decades ahead. Integral to this effort is supporting and disseminating research on a range of nonprofit sector workforce issues.

Researcher Bio

R. Patrick Halpern is a research consultant for American Humanics' *Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers*. A recent graduate of Virginia Tech's graduate program in Public and International Affairs, he currently serves as Operations Manager for Offender Aid and Restoration (OAR) of Richmond, an organization that assists ex-offenders as they resettle their respective communities. Other publications by Patrick include, "Behind Closed Doors: Elite Politics, Think Tanks, and U.S. Foreign Policy," published in *Insight Turkey* (2005) and his graduate research, "Information and Accountability: Reporting in a Nonprofit Serving the Homeless," can be retrieved at http://www.iga.vt.edu/papers/majorpaper_halpern.pdf. Patrick currently resides in Williamsburg, VA.

Contact Information


Please direct all correspondence regarding American Humanics, its *Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers*, or the Nonprofit Sector Workforce Coalition to:

Stephen Bauer
Director, Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers
American Humanics
1100 Walnut St., Suite 1900
Kansas City, MO 64106
Phone: 800/343-6466, x108
Fax: 816/531-3527
sbauer@humanics.org
www.humanics.org

American Humanics hopes to update this document annually. If you would like to submit recommendations for literature to include, we would welcome the input. Please send recommendations to Stephen Bauer, whose contact information is above.

AMERICAN HUMANICS

Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers



Workforce Issues in the Nonprofit Sector

A selected bibliography

By R. Patrick Halpern

May 2006

(Includes research released through January 2006)

Acknowledgements

American Humanics and Patrick Halpern would like to thank all of those who contributed to this project. The input of the following people was particularly helpful: Robert Ashcraft, Arizona State University; Russ Cargo, Virginia Tech; Shelly Cryer, consultant to American Humanics; Norm Dolch, Louisiana State University-Shreveport; Angela Eikenberry, Virginia Tech; Pablo Eisenberg, Georgetown University; Mark Hager, University of Texas-San Antonio; Heather Iliff, Alliance for Nonprofit Management; Kathy Kretman, Georgetown University; Les Lenkowsky, Indiana University; Paul Schmitz, Public Allies; Max Stephenson, Virginia Tech; and Michael Watson, Girl Scouts of America. Additionally, the author would like to acknowledge the considerable editorial assistance provided by Courtney Herron and Jonathon Simcosky.

The author is grateful for the insights provided by many experts in the field of nonprofit studies. However, he is solely responsible for the content of this bibliography and any errors contained within it.

American Humanics' *Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers* commissioned the creation of a selected bibliography of nonprofit sector workforce issues. The bibliography focuses on some of the most important workforce issues confronting the nonprofit sector. The bibliography is the first of its kind to specifically address the current practical and academic research related to nonprofit sector workforce issues. Considering the significant amount of existing research on the nonprofit sector workforce, this bibliography should not be considered comprehensive, but rather, as a snapshot or a point in time look at the existing research. The bibliography includes practitioner and academic research from 1995 to the present (apart from a select few particularly important documents which were published before 1995), but is focused primarily on the past five years. Many of the citations included in the bibliography could be placed in a number of categories, but every effort has been made to place the citations in the most logical categories. The content of the bibliography is as follows:

<i>Nonprofit Sector Workforce</i>	3
<i>Workforce Diversity and Inclusiveness</i>	5
Gender	5
Race and Ethnicity	5
General	6
Diversity Practices	7
<i>Workforce Pipeline</i>	9
Inter-generational Learning and Transition	9
Recruitment, Retention, and Turnover	9
Academic Centers, Programs, and Curricula	11
Civic Engagement	13
Student Debt	14
Career Choices	15
<i>Leadership Development</i>	16
General	16
Executive Transition	18
Succession Planning	20
Salaries and Benefits	20
<i>Volunteer Management and Fundraising Professionals</i>	23
Volunteer Management	23
Volunteering and Retirement	24
Fundraising Professionals	24
<i>Sub-Sector Workforce Trends and Needs</i>	26
Human Services/Frontline Workers	26
Philanthropic Careers	28
<i>General Trends in U.S. Workforce</i>	29
Retirement Trends	30
Changing Demographics	30
Sector-Switching	31

Nonprofit Sector Workforce

This section highlights research relating to the nonprofit sector, broadly writ, including research pertaining to the size of the sector, employment trends, employee satisfaction, and general human resource challenges. The focus, generally speaking, is on the nature and health of the nonprofit sector workforce.

- Anheier, H., & Ben-Ner, A. (1997). Shifting boundaries: Long-term changes in the size of the for-profit, nonprofit, cooperative and government sectors. *Annals of Public and Cooperative Economics*, 68(3), 335-353.
- Benz, M. (2005). Not for the profit, but for the satisfaction?—Evidence of worker well-being in non-profit firms. *KYKLOS*, 58(2), 155-176.
- Blackbaud. (2004). 2004 State of the nonprofit industry survey. Charleston, SC: Blackbaud. Retrieved September 10, 2005, from http://www.blackbaud.com/files/resources/whitepapers/IA_SONI_Results_2004.pdf
- DeVaro, J., & Samuelson, D. (2004). Why are promotions less likely in nonprofit firms? Ithaca, NY: Department of Labor Economics, Cornell University. Retrieved November 8, 2005, from <http://econwpa.wustl.edu:8089/eps/lab/papers/0501/0501010.pdf>
- Deweese, S. & Salamon, L. M. (2001, September). California nonprofit employment. Johns Hopkins Nonprofit Employment Bulletin #6. Baltimore, MD: Center for Civil Society Studies, Johns Hopkins University. Retrieved October 11, 2005, from <http://www.jhu.edu/~ccss/research/pdf/californ.pdf>
- Dicke, L. A. & Ott, J. S. 2003. Post-September 11 human resource management in nonprofit organizations. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 23(2), 97-113.
- Eisenberg, P. (2000). The nonprofit sector in a changing world. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 29(2), 325-330.
- Eisenberg, P. (2004). *Challenges for nonprofits and philanthropy: The courage to change*. Medford, MA: Tufts University Press.
- Independent Sector. (2001). *The new nonprofit almanac in brief: Facts and figures on the independent sector 2001*. Washington, DC: Independent Sector. Retrieved September 15, 2005, from <http://www.independentsector.org/PDFs/inbrief.pdf>
- Independent Sector. (2002). *Nonprofit almanac: Facts and finding: Employment in the nonprofit sector*. Washington, DC: Independent Sector. Retrieved September 15, 2005, from <http://www.independentsector.org/PDFs/npemployment.pdf>
- Independent Sector. (2002). *The new nonprofit almanac & desk reference: The essential facts and figures for managers, researchers, and volunteers*. Washington, DC: Independent Sector.
- Joslyn, H. (2002, October 17). Driven by mission: Nonprofit employees trade high pay for job satisfaction. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 15(1).
- Klineman, J. & Schwinn, E. (2004, March 18). Charities fast-track jobs: Skilled fund raisers, consultants, and those with business and marketing savvy are most in demand. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 16(11).

- Light, P. (2002). The content of their character: The state of the nonprofit workforce. *The Nonprofit Quarterly*, 9(3), 6-16.
- Light, P. (2003). *In search of public service*. Center for Public Service, The Brookings Institution, and Wagner School of Public Service, New York University. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.brookings.edu/gs/cps/search.pdf>
- Light, P. (2005). *Facing the futures: Building robust nonprofits in the Pittsburgh region*. A Report for The Forbes Fund. Pittsburgh, PA: The Forbes Fund. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.brook.edu/views/papers/light/20050501.pdf>
- Lynn, D. B. (2003). Symposium: Human resource management in nonprofit organizations. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 23(2), 91-96.
- McCambridge, R. (2001). Show me the...participation. *Nonprofit Quarterly*, 7(3), 18-23. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from <http://www.nonprofitquarterly.org/section/169.html>
- National Council of Nonprofit Associations. (2004). *Nonprofit agenda: A blueprint for action*. Washington, DC: National Council for Nonprofit Associations. Retrieved November 5, 2005, from http://www.ncna.org/_uploads/documents/live//bluprnt_pdf.pdf
- RespectAbility/National Council on the Aging. (2004). *Our findings*. Washington, D.C.: The National Council on the Aging. Retrieved December 10, 2005, from <http://www.respectability.org/research/ourfindings.html>
- Salamon, L. M. (1999). The nonprofit sector at a crossroads: The case of America. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 10(1), 5-23.
- Salamon, L. M. (Ed.). (2002). *The state of nonprofit America*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Salamon, L. M. & Dewees, S. (2002). In search of the nonprofit sector. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 45(11), 1716-1740.
- Salamon, L. M. & Sokolowski, S. W. (2005). Nonprofit organizations: New insights from QCEW data. *Monthly Labor Review*, 128(9), 19-26.
- Schmitz, P. & Stroup, K. (2005, July 21). Building tomorrow's nonprofit workforce. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 17(19).
- Soni, V. (2004). From crisis to opportunity: Human resource challenges for the public sector in the twenty-first century. *Review of Policy Research*, 21(2), 157-178.

Workforce Diversity and Inclusiveness

This section features research that identifies the composition of the sector by race, gender, and class. The research also suggests organizational and grant-making practices that should be promoted to build a more inclusive sector.

Gender

- Abzug, R. (1996). New frontiers: Women and girls encounter the nonprofit sector. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 6(3), 311-315.
- Gibelman, M. (1998). Women's perceptions of the glass ceiling in human service organizations and what to do about it. *Affilia: Journal of Women and Social Work*, 13(2), 147-166.
- Gibelman, M. (2000). The nonprofit sector and gender discrimination: A preliminary investigation into the glass ceiling. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 10(3), 251-69.
- Gibelman, M. (2003). So how far have we come? Pestilent and persistent gender gap in pay. *Social Work*, 48(1), 22-32.
- Hostetler, D. W. & Pynes, J. E. (2000). Sexual discrimination and its challenges for nonprofit managers. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 11(1), 49-63.
- Odendaul, T. & O'Neil, M. (Editors). (1994). *Women & power in the nonprofit sector*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Ranck, E. R.. (1999). Gender issues in preparing a professional workforce: New views of women's work in early care and education. *Child & Youth Care Forum*, 28(1), 59-67.
- Stelter, N. Z. (2002). Gender differences in leadership: Current social issues and future organizational implications. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 8(4), 88-99.

Race and Ethnicity

- Allison, M. T. (2001). Diversity issues and challenges facing youth-related nonprofit agencies. A Report Prepared for the Center for Nonprofit Leadership and Management. Tempe, AZ: Department of Recreation Management and Tourism, Arizona State University. Retrieved September 8, 2005, from http://www.asu.edu/copp/nonprofit/res/div_issue_fr.pdf
- Annie E. Casey Foundation. (2001). *Taking the initiative on jobs & race: Innovations in workforce development for minority job seekers and employers*. Bethesda, MD: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved September 20, 2005, from <http://aecf.org/publications/jobsandrace.pdf>
- Bartlett, C. V. (2003). Beyond numbers and compliance: Valuing of cultural diversity in national nonprofit capacity-building organizations. *Enhance: The Newsletter of the Alliance for Nonprofit Management*, 1(1), 1-10. Retrieved December 5, 2005, from http://www.allianceonline.org/Members/Enhance/2003_fall_enhance.enh/beyond_numbers_and_compliance.epa
- Fendi, P. & Nichols, K. M. (2004). *Exploring the perceptions of bias and discrimination: The utilization of*

nonprofit consultants of color in the greater Milwaukee area. Milwaukee, WI: Helen Bader Institute for Nonprofit Management, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. Retrieved December 6, 2005, from <http://www.uwm.edu/MilwaukeeIdea/HBI/CoCFull.pdf>

Hallberg, P. & Lund, J. (2005). The business of apocalypse: Robert Putnam and diversity. *Race & Class*, 46(4), 53-67.

Hyde, C. A. & Hopkins, K. (2004). Diversity climates in human service agencies: An exploratory assessment. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Diversity in Social Work*, 13(2), 25-43.

Katherine Pease & Associates. (2003). Inside inclusiveness: Race, ethnicity, and nonprofit organizations in metro Denver. Denver, CO: The Denver Foundation. Retrieved October 7, 2005, from http://www.denverfoundation.org/images/Inside_Inclusiveness_Full_Report.pdf

Linnell, D. and Belton, E. (2003). *Nonprofit effectiveness –inclusiveness matters: The case for dialogues that reach across difference*. Boston, MA: Third Sector New England. Retrieved October 17, 2005, from <http://www.diversityinitiative.org/files/DI-eval-full.pdf>

Mitra, A. (2003). Breaking the glass ceiling: African-American women in management positions. *Equal Opportunities International*, 22(2), 67-79.

Rangarajan, N. & Black, T. (2004). The diversity challenge: An exploratory study of the organizational barriers to diversity. *Rockefeller College Review*, 1(4), 5-23. Retrieved September 20, 2005, from <http://www.albany.edu/rockefeller/rockreview/Issue%204.pdf>

St. Onge, P., Cole, B., & Petty, S. (2003). *Through the lens of culture: Building capacity for social change and sustainable communities*. Oakland, CA: National Community Development Institute. http://www.ncdinet.org/_vti_pvt/CulturallyBasedPublication.pdf

Scott, E. K. (2005). Beyond tokenism: The making of racially diverse feminist organizations. *Social Problems*, 52(2), 232-254.

Support Center for Nonprofit Management (CompassPoint). (1996). *Nonprofit Management Association diversity project*. San Francisco: Support Center for Nonprofit Management. Retrieved December 6, 2005, from http://www.allianceonline.org/Members/Library/diversity_project_nma.pdf

General

Burbridge, L. C. (n.d.) Government, for-profit, and third sector employment: Differences by race and sex 1950-1990. Center for Research on Women, Wellesley College. Retrieved October 1, 2005, from www.nonprofituser.org/user_doc/16030.pdf

Catanzarite, L. (2003). Race-gender composition and occupational pay degradation. *Social Problems*, 50(1), 14-37.

Fernandopulle, A., Masaoka, J., & Parsa, P. (2002). *On the rise: A profile of women of color in leadership*. San Francisco: CompassPoint Nonprofit Services. Retrieved September 14, 2005, from http://www4.compasspoint.org/cpDir/files/documents/docs_research_evals/on_the_rise_final.pdf

Gough, S. N., II. (2001, Winter). Five reasons for nonprofit organizations to be inclusive. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 34, 101-117.

- Hendricks, M. A. (1998, Spring). Why diversity matters. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 19 115-126.
- Lipman, H. (1999, September 23). 9 in 10 executives at top charities are white, Chronicle finds. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 11(23).
- MacAllum, K. & Gallup-Black, A. (2003). *Toward diversity in public service: A report to the Ford Foundation on the Public Policy and International Affairs (PPIA) Fellowship Program 1980-2000*. Washington, D.C.: Academy for Educational Development. Retrieved December 10, 2005, from http://www.aed.org/ToolsandPublications/upload/PPIA_TowardDiversity-2.pdf
- Matthews, A. (1998). Diversity: A principle of human resource management. *Public Personnel Management*, 27(2), 175-185.
- McMillian-Capeheart, A. (2003). Hundreds of years of diversity: What took us so long? *Equal Opportunities Journal*, 22(8), 20-37.
- Motley, A. (2005, April). Dare to diversify. *Association Management*, 56-64.
- Olander, M., Kirby, E. H. & Schmitt, K. (2005). Fact sheet: Attitudes of young people toward diversity. College Park, MD: The Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement, University of Maryland. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.civicyouth.org/PopUps/FactSheets/Attitudes%202.25.pdf>
- Ostrander, S. A. (1999). Gender and race in a pro-feminist, progressive, mixed-gender, mixed-race organization. *Gender & Society*, 13(5), 628-642.
- Skocpol, T. (2004a). The narrowing of civic life. *The American Prospect*, 15(6). Retrieved December 5, 2005, from <http://www.prospect.org/web/printfriendly-view.wv?iid=7749>
- Skocpol, T. (2004b). Voice and inequality: The transformation of American civic democracy. *Perspectives on Politics*, 2(1), 3-20.
- Soni, V. 2000. A twenty-first century reception for diversity in the public sector: A case study. *Public Administration Review*, 60(5), 395-408.
- Wise, L. R. & Tschirhart, M. (2000). Examining empirical evidence on diversity effects: How useful is diversity research for public-sector managers. *Public Administration Review*, 60(5), 386-394.

Diversity Practices

- Baker, M. Q. (1999). Reaching new markets: What you can do to engage diverse groups. *Association Management*, 51(5), 43-45.
- Bormana, T. & Woods, S. (2000). Resources for workplace diversity: An annotated practitioner guide to information. Ithaca, NY: The Workplace Diversity Network, Cornell University. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from http://www.ilr.cornell.edu/extension/wdn/pdf/prac_guide.pdf
- Bridgestar. (2005). Recruiting diverse talent. Boston, MA: Bridgestar. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.bridgestar.org/Documents/RecruitingDiverseTalent.pdf>

- Chicago Jobs Council Cultural Competency and Employment Initiative. (2004). *Ready? Set. Grow? A starter's guide for becoming culturally competent*. Chicago, IL: Chicago Jobs Council Cultural Competency and Employment Initiative. Retrieved December 5, 2005, from http://www.cjc.net/publications/5_Capacity_Building_PDFs/ReadySetGrow_StarterGuide_CultComp.pdf.
- Combs, G. M. (2002). Meeting the leadership challenge of a diverse and pluralistic workplace: implications of self-efficacy for diversity training. *The Journal of Leadership Studies*, 8(4), 2-16.
- Gajewski, M. (2005). Strategic diversity to increase human capital in public and nonprofit organizations. *Nonprofit Good Practice Guide*. Retrieved November 7, 2005, from <http://www.npgoodpractice.org/PDF?Articles94.pdf>
- Hyde, C. A. (2003). Multicultural organizational development in nonprofit human service agencies: View from the field. *Journal of Community Practice*, 11(1), 39-59.
- Kilian, C. M., Hukai, D., & McCarty, C. E. (2005). Building diversity in the pipeline to corporate leadership. *Journal of Management Development*, 24(2), 155-168.
- Kossek, E. E., Lobel, S. A., & Brown, J. (2005). Human resource strategies to manage workforce diversity: Examining the 'business case.' In A. M. Konrad, P. Prasad, & J. Pringle (Eds.), *Handbook of Workplace Diversity*. London: Sage. Retrieved November 7, 2005, from <http://www.polisci.msu.edu/kossek/handbook2.pdf>
- Ross-Gordon, J. M. & Brooks, A. K. (2004). Diversity in human resource development and continuing professional education: What does it mean for the workforce, clients, and professionals? *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 6(1), 69-85.
- Soutar, S. (2004, April). Beyond the rainbow: Infusing your organization with diversity know-how. *Association Management*, 27-33.

Workforce Pipeline

This section contains research on pipelines of talent into and within the nonprofit sector, including inter-generational learning and transition; staff recruitment, retention, and turnover; youth civic engagement; academic centers and nonprofit management programs; and student debt. The focus here is on the development of more robust pipelines into nonprofit sector careers.

Inter-generational Learning and Transition

- Butt, D. M. (2003, Winter). Intergenerational service learning and volunteering. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 42, 59-69.
- Kooker, N. R. (2005, April 15). Nonprofits gird for generational shift in the corner office. *Boston Business Journal*. Retrieved October 26, 2005, from <http://www.boston.bizjournals.com/boston/stories/2005/04/18/story3.html>
- Kunreuther, F. (2002). *Generational Changes and Leadership: Implications for Social Change Organizations*. New York, NY: Building Movement Project. http://www.buildingmovement.org/artman/uploads/generational_change_and_leadership.pdf
- Kunreuther, F. (2003). The changing of the guard: What generational differences tell us about social-change organizations. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 32(3), 450-457.
- Kunreuther, F. (2005). *Up Next: Generation Change and the Leadership of Nonprofit Organizations*. Executive Transitions Monograph Series, Volume 4. Baltimore, MD: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved September 11, 2005, from http://www.aecf.org/publications/data/up_next.pdf
- Kunreuther, F. (n.d.) *Generational Leadership Listening Sessions*. New York, NY: Building Movement Project. Retrieved September 22, 2005, from http://www.buildingmovement.org/artman/uploads/glls_report.pdf
- Levin, M. (2001). Bridging the Generation Gap. *Association Management*, 53(1), 92-97.
- Williams, K. (2005). *Mentoring the Next Generation of Nonprofit Leaders: A Practical Guide for Managers*. Washington, DC: AED Center for Leadership Development, Academy for Educational Development. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from <http://newvoices.aed.org/MentoringNextGeneration.pdf>

Recruitment, Retention, and Turnover

- Ad Council. (2003). *Engaging the next generation: How nonprofits can reach young adults*. New York: The Advertising Council. Retrieved September 19, 2005, from http://www.adcouncil.org/pdf/engaging_the_next_gen.pdf
- Ban, C., Drahnak, A., & Towers, M. (2002). *Human resource challenges of human service and community development organizations: Recruitment and retention of professional staff in the not-for-profit sector*. A Report for The Forbes Fund. Pittsburgh, PA: Graduate School of Public and International Affairs, University of Pittsburgh. [See also, *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 23(2), 133-153] Retrieved September 9, 2005, from http://www.forbesfunds.org/docs/GSPIAFullReport_02.pdf

- Billiterri, T. J. (2000, September 21). Keeping the best on board: In a tight job market, charities try to heighten their appeal to workers. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 12(23).
- Bridgestar. (2005). Bridgestar survey report: The state of nonprofit hiring. Boston, MA: Bridgestar. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.bridgestar.org/Documents/StateofNonprofitHiring.pdf>
- Cappelli, P. (2000). A market-driven approach to retaining talent. *Harvard Business Review*, 28(1), 103-111.
- Cryer, S. (2004). *Recruiting and retaining the next generation of nonprofit sector leadership: A study of the (missed) connections among nonprofit organizations, college seniors, and offices of career services*. New York, NY: Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers. <http://www.humanics.org/atf/cf/{E02C99B2-B9B8-4887-9A15-C9E973FD5616}/nextgenleadership.pdf>
- Eisenberg, P. (1999). Help wanted: New nonprofit leaders for the 21st century. *NFG Reports: The Newsletter of the Neighborhood Funders Group*, 6(3). Retrieved September 8, 2005, from <http://www.nfg.org/reports/63pablo.htm>
- Eisenberg, P. (2004, December). Solving the nonprofit leadership crisis will take much work. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 17(5).
- Forbes Fund. (2004). Look here! Attracting and developing the next generation of nonprofit leadership.” Pittsburgh, PA: The Forbes Fund. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.forbesfund.org/docs/LookHere.pdf>
- Freeman, G. L. (2005). Don't fill a position; Recruit talent. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 49, 87-97.
- Gallagher, M. (2004). High turnover of execs hurting nonprofits. Council of Michigan Foundations. Retrieved October 26, 2005, from http://www.cmif.org/News_Detailed.asp?ID=279
- Gardyn, R. (2004, October 14). A show of appreciation: Charities find that small rewards or even a simple 'thank you' can have a big impact on employee morale. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 17(1).
- Lynch, L. (2003, October). Keeping the best: The difference between retaining and losing staff talent is leadership. *Association Management*, 30-34.
- Moore, C. J. (2004, October 14). Nonprofit staying power: Long-term charity employees say mission is one reason they remain. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 17(1).
- Panepento, P. (2005, March 31). Connecting with Generation X: Charities look for new ways to reach out to the under-40 set. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 17(12).
- Perlmutter, F. D., Deckop, J. R., Konrad, A. M., & Freely, J. L. (2005). Nonprofits and the job retention of former welfare clients. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 34(4), 473-490.
- Perry, S. (2005, November 24). Make room for boomers. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 18(4).
- Peters, J., Fernandopulle, A., Masaoka, J., Chan, C., and Wolfred, T. (2002). *Help wanted: Turnover and vacancy in nonprofits*. San Francisco: CompassPoint Nonprofit Services. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www4.compasspoint.org/cpDir/files/documents/HelpWanted.pdf>
- Westcott, S. (2005, December 8). Bringing in talent: Charities reward employees who recommend job candidates. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 18(5).

Academic Centers, Programs, and Curricula

- Ashcraft, R. F. (2001). Where nonprofit management education meets the undergraduate experience. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 25(1), 42-56.
- Ashcraft, R. F. (2002, Summer). Philanthropic studies curricula in higher education. *New Directions in Philanthropic Fundraising*, 36, 101-117.
- Burlingame, D. & Hammack, D. C. (Eds.). (2005). *Education for civil society: A summary of the 2004 conference*. Indianapolis, IN: Center on Philanthropy at Indiana University.
- Burlingame, D. & Kaufmann, D. (1996, March 14-16). Liberal arts and nonprofit management education. Paper Presented at Nonprofit Management Education 1996 Conference, Berkeley, CA.
- Camino, L. & Heidrich, K. W. (2000 November 16-18). Voices of wisdom: Knowledge and experience from practitioner-academic teams in the building bridges initiative. Paper Delivered at the 29th Annual Conference of the Association for Research on Nonprofit Organizations and Voluntary Action, New Orleans, LA . Retrieved October, 30, 2005, from www.centerpointinstitute.org/Resources/Documents/VoicesOfWisdom.pdf
- Dolan, D. A. (2002). Training needs of administrators in the nonprofit sector: What are they and how should we address them? *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 12(3), 277-292.
- Fletcher, K. M. (2003 November 20-22). Updating nonprofit management curricula for the 21st century: A case study of the curriculum revision project at the University of San Francisco. Paper Presented at the 32nd Annual Conference of the Association for Research on Nonprofit Organizations and Voluntary Action, Denver, CO.
- Fletcher, K. M. (2005). The impact of receiving a master's degree in nonprofit management on graduates' professional lives. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 34(4), 433-447.
- Haas, P. J. & Robinson, M. G. (1998). The views of nonprofit executives on educating nonprofit managers. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 8(4), 349-362.
- Hall, P. D., O'Neil, M., Vinokur-Kaplan, D., Young, D.R., & Lane, F. S. (2001). Panel discussion: where you stand depends on where you sit: The implications of organizational location for university-based programs in nonprofit management. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 25(1), 74-87.
- Heidrich, K. W. & Long, R. F. (2004). *The story of the Building Bridges Initiative*. Battle Creek, MI: Kellogg Foundation. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from http://www.wkkf.org/Pubs/PhilVol/BuildingBridges/StoryofBBI_00269_03416.pdf
- Joslyn, H. (2004). Gaining success by degrees: More charity workers seek education in nonprofit-management programs. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 16(6), page #s.
- Joslyn, H. (2004, January 8). Young people fuel demand for nonprofit study. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 16(6).
- Katsioloudes, M. I. & Tischio, V. (2001). Critical thinking in nonprofit management education. *Human Systems Management*, 20, 47-57.

- Larson, S. & Barnes, S. (2001). Building philanthropy and nonprofit academic centers: A view from ten builders . A Report to the W. K. Kellogg Foundation, prepared as part of the Building Bridges Initiative Cluster Evaluation. Battle Creek, MI: W.K. Kellogg Foundation. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.centerpointinstitute.org/Resources/Documents/BuildersStudy.pdf>
- Larson, R. S. & Wilson, M. I. (2001). Building Bridges Initiative cluster evaluation: Survey of nonprofit management students. E. Lansing, MI: Applied Research. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.centerpointinstitute.org/Resources/Documents/BBISurveyRev2.pdf>
- Larson, R. S. (2002). Building Bridges Initiative cluster evaluation: Nonprofit management alumni survey report. E. Lansing, MI: Applied Research. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.centerpointinstitute.org/Resources/Documents/AlumniSurvey.pdf>
- Larson, S. & Barnes-Moorehead, S. (2001). *How centers work: Building and sustaining academic nonprofit centers*. Battle Creek, MI: W.K. Kellogg Foundation. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from [www.centerpointinstitute.org/ Resources/Documents/HowCentersWork.pdf](http://www.centerpointinstitute.org/Resources/Documents/HowCentersWork.pdf)
- Lee, M. (2002). Nonprofit certificates in nonprofit management: An exploratory study. *Public Administration & Management*, 7(3), 188-210.
- Mirabella, R. M. & Renz, D. O. (2001). Nonprofit management outreach programs: An examination of institutional mission and setting. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 25(1), 14-29.
- Mirabella, R. M. & Wish, N. B. (1999a). Educational impact of graduate nonprofit degree programs: Perspectives of multiple stakeholders. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 9(3), 329-340.
- Mirabella, R. M. & Wish, N. B. (1999b). The 'best place' debate: A comparison of graduate education programs for nonprofit managers. *Public Administration Review*, 60(3), 219-229.
- Mirabella, R. M. & Wish, N. B. (2001). University-based educational programs in the management of nonprofit organizations: An updated census of U.S programs. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 25(1), 30-41.
- O'Neil, M. & Fletcher, K. (Eds.). (1999). *Nonprofit management education: U.S. and world perspectives*. Westport, CT: Prager.
- O'Neil, M. (2005). Developmental contexts of nonprofit management education. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 16(1), 5-17.
- National Academic Centers Council. (2004). Curricular guidelines for graduate study in philanthropy, the nonprofit sector and nonprofit leadership (2nd ed.) Cleveland, OH, Nonprofit Academic Centers Council. Retrieved September 22, 2005, from http://www.naccouncil.org/pdf/NACC_Guidelines.pdf
- Rea, A. & Maatman, J. (2003, November 20-22). Bridging the gap between academics and practitioners: Enhancing technology competencies in nonprofit education and practice. Paper Presented at the 32nd Annual Conference of the Association for Research on Nonprofit Organizations and Voluntary Action, Denver, CO.
- Sheenan, R. M. (2003, November 20-22). An alternative to traditional degree programs: Custom designed programming for nonprofit managers. Paper Presented at the 32nd Annual Conference of the Association for Research on Nonprofit Organizations and Voluntary Action, Denver, CO.

- Wilson, M. I. & Larson, R. S. (2002). Nonprofit management students: Who they are and why they enroll. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 31(2), 259-270.
- Wish, N. B. & Mirabella, R. M. (1998). Curricular variations in nonprofit management graduate programs. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 9(1), 99-109.
- Young, D. R. (1999). Nonprofit management studies in the United States: Current developments and future prospects. *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, 5(1), 13-24.

Civic Engagement

- Brisbin, R. A. & Hunter, S. (2003). Community leaders' perceptions of university and college efforts to encourage civic engagement. *The Review of Higher Education*, 26(4), 467-486.
- Campus Compact. (2004). 2004 service statistics: The engaged campus: Highlights and trends of Campus Connect's annual membership survey. Providence, RI: Campus Compact. Retrieved September 22, 2005, from http://www.compact.org/news/downloads/2004_Statistics.pdf
- Charles, M. M. (2005). Giving back to the community: African American inner city teens and civic engagement. Circle Working Paper 38. College Park, MD: The Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement, University of Maryland. Retrieved October 1, 2005, from <http://www.civicyouth.org/PopUps/WorkingPapers/WP38Charles.pdf>
- Giloth, R. (2004). Workforce development for working families: A solution in search of civic commitment. *NFG Reports: The Newsletter of the Neighborhood Funders Group*, 11(3). http://www.nfg.org/reports/NFG_Reports_Summer_2004.pdf
- Ho, J. (n.d.). Youth and community: Engaging young people in philanthropy and service. Battle Creek, MI: W.K. Kellogg Foundation. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from http://www.wkkf.org/Pubs/PhilVol/youthcommunity1_00251_03773.pdf
- Keeter, S., Zukin, C., Andolina, M., & Jenkins, K. (2002). The civic and political health of the nation: A generational portrait. College Park, MD: The Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement, University of Maryland. Retrieved October 1, 2005, from http://www.civicyouth.org/research/products/Civic_Political_Health.pdf
- Lake Snell Perry & Associates & The Terrance Group. (n.d.). *Short term impacts, long term opportunities: The political and civic engagement of young adults in America*. A Report for The Center for Information and Research in Civic Learning & Engagement. Retrieved October 7, 2005, from http://www.pewtrusts.com/pdf/pp_circle_0302.pdf.
- Light, P. C. (2002, Fall). The volunteering decision: What prompts it? What sustains it? *The Brookings Review*, 20(4), 45-47. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <https://www.brookings.edu/press/review/fall2002/light.htm>
- Lopez, M. H. (2002). CIRCLE fact sheet: Civic engagement among minority youth. College Park, MD: The Center for Information & Research on Civic Learning & Engagement, University of Maryland. http://www.civicyouth.org/PopUps/FactSheets/FS_Civic_Eng_MinorityYouth.pdf
- Palmer, S. (2003). Engaging disengaged youth in the 21st century: A guide for understanding the trends & meeting the challenges. Retrieved September 17, 2005, from <http://www.serviceleader.org/new/documents/articles/2004/06/000235print.php>

Raskoff, S. A. & Sundeen, R. A. (2001). Cultural diversity and high school community service: The relationships between ethnicity and students' perceptions. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 30(4), 720-746.

Student Debt

American Bar Association Commission on Loan Repayment and Forgiveness. (2003). *Lifting the burden: Law student debt as a barrier to public service*. The final report of the ABA Commission on Loan Repayment and Forgiveness. Chicago, IL: American Bar Association. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from <http://www.abanet.org/legalservices/downloads/lrap/lrapfinalreport.pdf>

Ballard, A. (2005). Understanding the Next Generation of Nonprofit Employees: The Impact of Educational Debt. A Study Conducted for The Building Movement Project. Berkeley, CA: Goldman School of Public Policy, University of California at Berkeley. Retrieved September 22, 2005, from http://www.buildingmovement.org/artman/uploads/educational_debt.pdf

Baum, S. & O'Malley, M. (2003, February 6). *College on credit: How borrowers perceive their educational debt: Results of the 2002 national student loan survey*. A Study Sponsored by the Nellie Mae Corporation. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from http://www.nelliemae.com/library/nasls_2002.pdf

Boushey, H. (2005b, March). The debt explosion among college graduates. Washington, DC: Center for Economic and Policy Research. Retrieved September 14, 2005, from http://www.cepr.net/publications/Student_Debt_Issue_Brief.pdf

Boushey, H. (2005a, September). Student debt: Bigger and bigger. Washington, DC: Center for Economic and Policy Research. Retrieved September 14, 2005, from http://www.cepr.net/publications/student_debt_2005_09.pdf

Burdman, P. (2005). *The student debt dilemma: Debt aversion as a barrier to college access*. Berkeley, CA: Project on Student Debt, The Institute for College Access and Success. Retrieved November 14, 2005, from <http://projectonstudentdebt.org/files/pub/DebtDilemma.pdf>

Draut, T. & Silva, J. (2004, October). Generation broke: The growth of debt among young americans. Borrowing to make ends meet briefing paper #2. New York: Dēmos. Retrieved October 26, 2005, from http://www.demos-usa.org/pubs/Generation_Broke.pdf

Equal Justice Works, NALP, & the Partnership for Public Service. (2002, November). From paper chase to money chase Law school debt diverts road to public service. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from <http://www.equaljusticeworks.org/choose/lrapsurvey.pdf>

Heller, D. A. (2001). Debts and decisions: Student loans and their relationship to graduate school and career Choice. *New Agenda Series*, 3(4). Indianapolis, IN: Lumina Foundation for Education. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from <http://www.luminafoundation.org/publications/debtsdecisions.pdf>

Kamenetz, A. (2004). Generation debt: A sleeping class: Young Americans fight for every cause but their own. wake up, already. *The Village Voice*, June 1. Retrieved October 26, 2005, from <http://www.villagevoice.com/news/0422,kamenetz,53958,1.html>

Kirshstein, R. J., Berger, A. R., Benatar, E., & Rhodes, D. (2004). *Workforce contingent financial aid: How states link financial aid to employment*. Indianapolis, IN: Lumina Foundation for Education. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from <http://www.luminafoundation.org/research/Workforce.pdf>

Career Choices

Chetkovich, C. (2003). What's in a sector? The shifting career plans of public policy students. *Public Administration Review*, 63(6), 660-674.

Erlanger, H. S., Epp, C. R., Cahill, M., and Haines, K. M. 1996. Law Student Idealism and Job Choice: Some New Data on an Old Question. *Law & Society Review*, 30(4), 851-864.

Hansen, S. B., Huggins, L., and Ban, C. (2003). *Explaining Employee Recruitment and Retention by Nonprofit Organizations: A Survey of Pittsburgh Area University Graduates*. Pittsburgh, PA: The Forbes Fund. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.forbesfunds.org/docs/PittsburghAreaGraduates.pdf>

Jurkiewicz, C. L. (2000). Generation X and the Public Employee. *Public Personnel Management*, 29(1), 55-74.

Light, P. C. (2000, July). The empty government talent pool: The new public service arrives. *The Brookings Review*, 18(1), 20-23. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.brookings.edu/press/review/winter2000/light.htm>

Roksa, J. (2005). Double disadvantage or blessing in disguise? Understanding the relationship between college major and employment sector. *Sociology of Education*, 78(3), 207-232.

Leadership Development

The research in this section recognizes trends and general challenges regarding leadership development in the sector, including executive transition and succession planning, financing of leadership development, the development of diverse leadership, salaries and benefits, and sectoral career choices. The section deals largely with challenges related to executive leadership and development.

General

- Advocacy Institute. (2000). *Justice Begins at Home: Strengthening Social Justice Advocacy in the U.S.* Washington, DC: Advocacy Institute. Retrieved October 26, 2005, from <http://www.advocacy.org/publications/pdf/justicebeginsathome.pdf>
- Ahmed, S. (2005). Desired competencies and job duties of nonprofit CEOs in relation to the current challenges: Through the lens of CEOs' job advertisements. *Journal of Management Development*, 24(10), 913-926.
- Alliance for Children & Families. (2004, Fall). Customized leadership development program starts on day one. *Alliance for Children & Families*, 17-19. Retrieved October 1, 2005, from http://www.alliance1.org/magazine/Fall_2004/Fall04_innov_leadershp.pdf
- Annie E. Casey Foundation. (2001). *Building Leaders for Change: An Overview of the Annie E. Casey Foundation's Children and Family Fellowship*. Baltimore, MD: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from http://www.aecf.org/publications/data/buliding_leaders.pdf
- Ban, C., & Towers, M. (2003). The challenge of nonprofit leadership: A comparative study of nonprofit executives in the Pittsburgh Region. A Report for The Forbes Fund. Pittsburgh, PA: Graduate School of Public and International Affairs, University of Pittsburgh. Retrieved September 25, 2005, from http://www.forbesfund.org/docs/GSPIAFullReport_03.pdf
- Birdsell, D., & Muzzio, D. (2003). The next leaders: UWNYPC grantee leadership development and succession management needs. A report to the United Way of New York City. New York: School of Public Affairs, Baruch College. Retrieved October 1, 2005, from http://www.unitedwaynyc.org/pdf/the_next_leaders.pdf
- Catalyst. (2001). *The Next Generation: Today's Professionals, Tomorrow's Leaders*. New York: Catalyst.
- Cohen, R. (2003). *Advocacy for Social Change in Metropolitan Washington*. Washington, DC: National Committee for Responsive Philanthropy. Retrieved November 9, 2005, from <http://www.ncrp.org/downloads/PDF/AdvocacyForSocialChange.pdf>
- Development Guild/DDI, Inc. (2002). *Evaluating Outcomes and Impacts: A Scan of 55 Leadership Development Programs*. Battle Creek, MI: W.K. Kellogg Foundation. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.wkkf.org/Pubs/CCT/Leadership/Pub3780.pdf>
- Golensky, M. (2004, November 18-20). Choosing a new nonprofit CEO: A longitudinal study. A paper prepared for presentation at the 33rd Annual Conference of the Association for Research on Nonprofit Organizations and Voluntary Action, Los Angeles, CA.
- Joslyn, H. (2002, September 19). Grooming the best and brightest: A new generation of programs seeks to cultivate charity leaders. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 14(23).

- Hubbard, B. (2005). *Investing in Leadership: Volume 1: A Grantmaker's Framework for Understanding Nonprofit Leadership Development*. Washington, DC: Grantmakers for Effective Leadership. Retrieved October 13, 2005, from <http://www.geofunders.org/uploads/documents/live/FINAL-InvestinLeadership%20Vol1.pdf>.
- Marsh, D. S., Danie, M. H., & Putnam, K. (2003). *Leadership for Policy Change: Strengthening Communities of Color through Leadership Development*. Oakland, CA: PolicyLink. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.policylink.org/pdfs/LeadershipForPolicyChange.pdf>
- Peters, J., & Wolfred, T. (2001). *Daring to Lead: Nonprofit Executive Directors and Their Work Experience*. San Francisco: CompassPoint Nonprofit Services. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from http://www4.compasspoint.org/cpDir/files/documents/docs_research_evals/Daring.pdf
- Pittinsky, T. L., Rosenthal, S. A., Welle, B., & Montoya, R. M. (2005). *National Leadership Index 2005: A National Study of Confidence in Leadership*. Cambridge, MA: Center for Public Leadership, John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.ksg.harvard.edu/leadership/nli/nli2005.pdf>
- Reinelt, C., Sullivan, S., & Foster, P. (2001). *Engaging New Leadership Voices for Catalyzing and Sustaining Community Change*. A Report prepared for the Kellogg Foundation. Brookline, MA: Development Guild/DDI. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.leadershiponlinewkkf.org/LearningCenter/pubs/newvoices/index.asp>
- Rodriquez, A. (2004). Bridging the leadership gap: Solutions for community development corporations. Milano Mix Human Capital Series. New York: Living Cities and Robert J. Milano Graduate School of Management and Urban Policy, New School University. Retrieved October 20, 2005, from http://milanomix.org/newsletter_html/Leadership_humcap.pdf
- Santora, J. C., & J. C. Sarros. (2001). CEO tenure in nonprofit community-based organizations: A multiple case study. *Career Development International*, 6(1), 56-61.
- Scandora, T. A., & Lankau, M. J. (1996). Developing diverse leaders: A leader-member exchange approach. *Leadership Quarterly*, 7(2), 243-263.
- Sublett, R. H., Grubb, D., Myers, M., & Grant, J. (n.d.). *Kellogg National Leadership Program: A Practical Guide to Leadership Development*. Battle Creek, MI: Kellogg Foundation. Retrieved October 30, from <http://www.wkkf.org/Pubs/CCT/Leadership/Pub3361.pdf>
- Third Wave Foundation. (2004). *Blueprint for the Future: Recommendations on Leadership for the 21st Century*. New York: The Third Wave Foundation. Retrieved September 8, 2005, from http://www.thirdwavefoundation.org/programs/blueprint_for_the_future.pdf
- Wilensky, A. S., & Hansen, C. D. (2001). Understanding the work beliefs of nonprofit executives through organizational stories. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 12(3), 223-239.
- W.K. Kellogg Foundation. (1997). *Leadership for Civil Society: First in a Series of Dialogues*. Battle Creek, MI: Kellogg Foundation. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from http://www.wkkf.org/Pubs/CCT/Leadership/LdrCivilSociety_00312_03788.pdf
- W.K. Kellogg Foundation. (2002). *Our Common Leadership Challenges*. Battle Creek, MI: Kellogg Foundation. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.wkkf.org/Pubs/CCT/Leadership/Pub3715.pdf>

- W. K. Kellogg Foundation. (2003). *Grassroots Leadership Development: A Guide for Grassroots Leaders, Support Organizations, and Funders*. Battle Creek, MI: Kellogg Foundation. Retrieved December 6, 2005, from http://www.wkkf.org/Pubs/Grassrootswkbk1PC_00439_00815.pdf
- Wolfred, T., Allison, M., & Masaoka, J. (1999). *Leadership Lost: A Study of Executive Director Tenure and Experience*. San Francisco: CompassPoint Nonprofit Services.
- Wood, R. L. (2002). Leadership development for strategic change. *NFG Reports: The Newsletter of the Neighborhood Funders Group*, 9(3). Retrieved September 13, 2005, from <http://www.nfg.org/reports/93leadershipdevelopment.htm>
- Youngberg, F., Miyasato, L. A., & Nakanishi, D. (n.d.) *Leadership Challenges and Opportunities: An Asian American and Pacific Islander Women's Lens*. Denver, CO: Asia Pacific American Women's Leadership Institute. Retrieved October 19, 2005, from http://www.apawli.org/report2/5_yr_report_layout.pdf

Executive Transition

- Adams, T. (2002). Departing? Arriving? Surviving and thriving: Lessons for seasoned and new executives. *Nonprofit Quarterly*, 9(4).
- Adams, T. (2003). Capturing the power of leadership change: Using executive transition services to strengthen organizational capacity. Bethesda, MD: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from http://www4.compasspoint.org/cpDir/files/documents/docs_research_evals/Capturing_Power_Of_Leadership_Change.pdf
- Adams, T. (2004). *Capturing the Power of Leadership Change: Using Executive Transition Management to Strengthen Organizational Capacity*. Executive Transitions Monograph Series, Vol 1. Baltimore: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.aecf.org/publications/data/etm.pdf>
- Adams, T. (2005). *Founder Transitions: Creating Good Endings and New Beginnings*. Executive Transitions Monograph Series, Volume 3. Baltimore: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved October 1, 2005, from http://www.aecf.org/publications/data/founders_monograph.pdf
- Adams, T., Brown, C., & Thomas-Scott, M. (2003). The executive transition initiative: Strengthening Maryland's nonprofits during leadership change. Baltimore: Maryland Association of Nonprofit Organizations. Retrieved September, 14, 2005, from <http://www.transitionguides.com/resources/docs/etireport.pdf>
- Alliance for Children and Families. (2005, Spring). Connect with success: Planning ahead for executive transition is a must for 21st century nonprofits. *Alliance for Children and Families Magazine*, 6-10. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from http://www.alliance1.org/magazine/Spring_2005/EXEC_TRANSITION_spr05.pdf
- Annie E. Casey Foundation. (2001). Literature review: Executive transitions. Annie E. Casey Foundation Transitions Research Project. Baltimore: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved September 14, 2005, from http://www.transitionguides.com/resources/docs/et_lit_review.pdf
- Annie E. Casey Foundation. (2002). The state of executive transition services nationally and in Baltimore, San Francisco, & San Antonio. Baltimore: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved September 14, 2005, from http://www.transitionguides.com/resources/docs/et_scan.pdf

- Annie E. Casey Foundation. (2003). Community based organizations and executive leadership transitions: A survey of Annie E. Casey community based grantees. Baltimore: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved September 14, 2005, from http://www.transitionguides.com/resources/docs/cf_surv_full.pdf
- Finley, K. M. (2003, March). Turnarounds and transitions: Lessons learned. *Association Management*, 44-49.
- Gilmore, T. N. (2003). *Making a Leadership Change: How Organizations and Leaders Can Handle A Leadership Change Successfully*. Lincoln, NE: Authors Choice Press.
- Gilmore, T. N., & Ronchi, D. (1995). Managing predecessors' shadows in executive transition. *Human Resource Management*, 34(1), 11-26.
- Hernandez, C., & Leslie, D. R. (2001). Charismatic leadership: The aftermath. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 11(4), 493-497.
- Hinden, D. R., & Hull, P. (2002). Executive leadership transition: What we know. *Nonprofit Quarterly*, 9(4).
- Hinden, S. (2003, February 23). In nonprofit sector: Transition at the TOP. *The Washington Post*, p. H01. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from http://www.transitionguides.com/resources/docs/wp_hinden_022303.pdf
- Masaoka, J. (2004). Nonprofit executive transition: Considerations for funders. *NFG Reports: The Newsletter of the Neighborhood Funders Group*, 11(1). Retrieved October 30, 2005, from http://www.nfg.org/reports/NFG_Reports_Winter_2004.pdf
- NeighborWorks. (1998). *Managing Executive Transitions*. Boston: Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.nw.org/network/pubs/studies/documents/handbook.pdf>
- Randall, G., & Maas, S. (2004). *Executive Director Tenure and Transition in Southern New England*. New England Executive Transitions Partnership. Retrieved September from <http://www.tsne.org/files/ETP-Maas-Randall-study.pdf>
- Rodriquez, A., & Herzog, N. (2004). Replacing passionate leaders: The current challenges for community development. In R. V. Anglin (Ed.), *Building the Organizations that Build Communities: Strengthening the Capacity of Faith- and Community-based Development Organizations* (pp. 93-116). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.huduser.org/Publications/pdf/BuildOrgComms/SectionII-Paper1.pdf>
- Teegarden, P. H. (2004). *Nonprofit Executive Leadership and Transitions Survey 2004*. Research by Manganse Consulting in Collaboration with TransitionGuides, Funded in part by the Annie E. Casey Foundation. Baltimore, MD: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved December 10, 2005, from http://www.aecf.org/publications/data/executive_transition_survey_report2004.pdf
- TransitionGuides and Management Performance Concepts. (2004). Community foundation CEOs survey: Transitions and career paths. Silver Spring, MD: TransitionGuides. Retrieved September 14, 2005, from http://www.transitionguides.com/resources/docs/cf_surv_full.pdf
- Weisman, C., & Goldbaum, R. I. (2004). *Losing Your Executive Director Without Losing Your Way: The Nonprofit's Guide to Executive Turnover*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Wolfred, T. (2002). Stepping up: A board's challenge in leadership transition. *Nonprofit Quarterly*, 9(4), 1-5.

Wolfred, T. (2005). Interim executive directors: The power in the middle. *Executive Transitions Monograph Series*, Vol. 2. Baltimore, MD: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved October 1, 2005, from <http://www.aecf.org/publications/data/ied.pdf>

Succession Planning

Axelrod, N. (2002). *Chief Executive Succession Planning: The Board's Role in Securing Your Organization's Future*. Washington, DC: BoardSource.

Bridges, W., & Mitchell, S. (2000, Spring). Leading transition: A new model for change. *Leader to Leader*, No. 16. Retrieved September 22, 2005, from <http://www.drucker.org/leaderbooks/121/spring2000/bridges.html>

Eastman, L. J. (1995). Succession planning: An annotated bibliography and summary of commonly reported organizational practices. Greensboro, NC: Center for Creative Leadership.

Ernest, E., & Barr, M. (2003, October). Next in line: The Greensboro regional realtors association offers a case study in succession planning. *Association Management*, 42-48.

Handy, C. (2002, Spring). Elephants and fleas: Is your organization prepared for change? *Leader to Leader*, No. 24. Retrieved September 22, 2005, from <http://www.drucker.org/leaderbooks/121/spring2002/handy.html>

Redington, E., & Vickers, D. (2001). Following the leader: A guide for planning founding director transition. Columbus, OH: The Academy for Leadership and Governance.

Santora, J. C., & Sarros, J. C. (2001). CEO succession in nonprofit community-based organizations: Is there room for insiders at the top? *Career Development International*, 6(2), 107-111.

Salaries and Benefits

Anglin, R., & McNeely, J. (2003). The role of benefits in building a high impact, high performance community-based development organization. New York: Robert J. Milano Graduate School of Management and Urban Policy, New School University. Retrieved October 20, 2005, from http://www.milanomix.org/research_pdf/Benefitspaper_final.pdf

Burbridge, L. C. (1994). *The glass ceiling in different sectors of the economy: Differences between government, non-profit, and for-profit organizations*. A Report Prepared for The Glass Ceiling Commission, U.S. Department of Labor. Retrieved September 20, 2005, from http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1121&context=key_workplace

Cour, S. (2001). The paradox of work family policy in the nonprofit sector. *Rockefeller College Review Working Paper Series*, 1(1), [Working Paper # 01-101-01]. Albany, NY: Nelson A. Rockefeller College of Public Affairs & Policy, State University of New York at Albany. Retrieved December 11, 2005, from <http://www.albany.edu/rockefeller/rockreview/issue1/0110101.pdf>

Emanuele, R., & Higgins, S. H. (2000). Corporate culture in the nonprofit sector: A comparison of fringe benefits with the for-profit sector. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 24, 87-93.

Frumkin, P., & Keating, E. K. (2001). The price of doing good: Executive compensation in nonprofit organizations. The Hauser Center for Nonprofit Organizations, [Working Paper No. 8]. Cambridge,

MA: The Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.kellogg.northwestern.edu/domainusertest/nonprofit/research/papers/ngocomp.pdf>

- Frumkin, P. (2001, winter). Are nonprofit CEOs overpaid? *Public Interest*, 83-94.
- Gibelman, M. (1998). Women's perceptions of the glass ceiling in human service organizations and what to do about it. *Affilia: Journal of Women and Social Work*, 13(2), 147-166.
- Gonyea, J. G. (1999, March). The nonprofit sector's responsiveness to work-family issues. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 562, 127-142.
- GuideStar. (2004). 2004 GuideStar nonprofit compensation report: Demonstration and contents. Williamsburg, VA: Philanthropic Research, Inc. Retrieved September 14, 2005, from http://www.guidestar.org/services/2004_cr_preview.pdf
- Irons, J. S., & Bass, G. (2004). Recent trends in nonprofit employment and earnings: 1990-2004. Washington, DC: OMB Watch. Retrieved September 16, 2005, from http://www.ombwatch.org/budget/pdf/nonprofit_employment_Aug04.pdf
- Jensen, B., Kerkman, L., & Moore, C. J. (2005, September 29). Pay raises for charity leaders keep pace with inflation: Government's scrutiny of nonprofit compensation is cited as key reason for stagnant wages, in the Chronicle's survey of big organizations. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 17(24).
- Jones, J. (2005, February 1). Special report: NPT 2005 salary survey. *The Nonprofit Times*. Retrieved September 20, 2005, from <http://www.nptimes.com/Feb05/sr1.html>
- Joslyn, H. (2002, February 21). Balancing work and family: Charities face new challenges over what benefits to provide. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 14(9).
- Joslyn, H. (2003, March 20). Charity's glass ceiling: Salary gap persists for women in nonprofit organizations. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 15(11).
- Joslyn, H. (2003, March 20). Making salary discussion less taboo is key to helping women achieve pay equity. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 15(11).
- Langer, S. (2000, August 1). Data extract: Benefits, working conditions in nonprofit sector. *Employee Benefit News*.
- Leete, L. (2000). Wage equity and employee motivation in nonprofit and for-profit organizations. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 43(4), 423-446.
- Leete, L. (2001). Whither the nonprofit wage differential? Estimates from the 1990 census. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 19(1), 136-70.
- Lipman, H. (2005, November 10). A growing disparity: Gap between pay for CEOs and workers is getting bigger. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 18(3).
- Lipman, H. (2005, November 10). Few organizations have policies to guide decisions on compensation. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 18(3).
- Manzo, P. (2004, winter). The *real* salary scandal: It isn't that some nonprofit CEOs make big bucks, it's that most nonprofit employees are paid too little *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, 65-67. Retrieved October 5, 2005, from http://www.ssireview.com/pdf/2004WI_frontlines_manzo.pdf

- Mesch, D. J., & Rooney, P. (2004, November 17). Executive compensation and gender: A longitudinal study of a national nonprofit organization. Paper Presented at Association for Research on Nonprofit Organizations and Voluntary Action Annual Conference, Los Angeles, CA. Retrieved October 5, 2005, from http://www.philanthropy.iupui.edu/executive_compensation.pdf
- McGowan, D. M., & Brisendine, A. T. (2002). The continuing evolution of deferred compensation plans for tax-exempts and governmental agencies. *Benefits Law Journal*, 15(1), 87-102.
- Oster, S. M. (1998). Executive compensation in the nonprofit sector. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 8(3), 207-221.
- Preston, A. (n.d). Task force report: Compensation in nonprofit organizations. Reston, VA: National Center on Nonprofit Enterprise. Retrieved December 6, 2005, from <http://www.nationalcne.org/comp.html>
- Pitt-Catsouphe, M., Swanberg, J. E., Bond, J. T., & Galinsky, E. (2004). Work-life policies and programs: comparing the responsiveness of nonprofit and for-profit organizations. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 14(3), 291-312.
- Pynes, J. E., & Newman, M. A. (2001). Nonprofit sector unionization and gender equity: Learning lessons from a case study of a teacher organization in the St. Louis archdiocese. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 21(1), 5-26.
- Ruhm, C. J., & Borkoski, C. (2003). Compensation in the nonprofit sector. *Journal of Human Resources*, 38(4), 992-1021.
- Salamon, L. M., & O'Sullivan, R. (2004). The health benefits squeeze: Implications for nonprofit organizations and those they serve. Listening Post Project. [Communiqué No. 3]. Baltimore, MD: Center for Civil Society Studies, Johns Hopkins University. Retrieved September 25, 2005, from <http://www.jhu.edu/listeningpost/news/pdf/comm03.pdf>
- The Chronicle of Philanthropy. (2003 March 20). Board members play crucial role in closing the gender gap in pay. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 15(11).
- Twombly, E. C. (2002). Executive compensation in the nonprofit sector: A focus on arts and cultural organizations. Washington, DC: Americans for Arts.
- Twombly, E. C., & Gantz, M. G. (2001, November). Executive compensation in the nonprofit sector: New findings and policy implications. The Urban Institute: Charting Civil Society, A Series by the Center on Nonprofits and Philanthropy. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/310372_cnp_11.pdf
- Werner, S., Konopaske, R. & Gemeinhardt, G. (2000). The effects of United Way membership on employee pay in nonprofit organizations. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 11(1), 35-48.
- Williams, S. (2003, April). Compensation calculation. *Association Management*, 45-48.
- Workforce Management. (2003, November). Pay in the not-for-profit sector. *Workforce Management*. Retrieved October 26, 2005, from <http://www.workforce.com/section/02/article/23/55/06>

Volunteer Management & Fundraising Professionals

This section addresses research that relates to the professionalization of volunteer management, including practices for recruiting and retaining volunteers, managing diverse volunteers, and trends in retirement and volunteering. Also included in this section is research that addresses the recruitment and retention of fundraising professionals, diversity within the fundraising profession, salaries and compensation, and other workforce issues. The focus on these two areas was prompted by particularly strong interest from a small group of advisors. An examination of professional accountants and other specific functions in the nonprofit sector were not included in order to limit the scope of the project.

Volunteer Management

- Boraas, S.. (2003, August). Volunteerism in the United States. *Monthly Labor Review*, 3-11.
- Farmer, S. M., & Fedor, D. B. (1999). Volunteer participation and withdrawal: A psychological contract perspective on the role of expectations and organizational support. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 9(4), 349-367.
- First Side Partners. (2002). *Volunteerism, Social Capital and Philanthropy in the Not-for-Profit Sector*. A Research Study for the Forbes Fund. Pittsburgh, PA: The Forbes Fund.
- Hager, M. A., & Brudney, J. L. (2004). *Balancing act: The challenge and benefits of volunteers*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Hager, M. A., & Brudney, J. L. 2004. *Volunteer management: practices and retention of volunteers*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from http://www.volunteerinput.org/volunteer/management_brief.pdf
- Hwang, M., Grabb, E., and Curtis, J. (2005). Why get involved? Reasons for voluntary-association activity among Americans and Canadians. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 34(3), 387-403.
- Leonard, R., Onyx, J., & Hayward-Brown, H. (2004). Volunteer and coordinator perspectives on managing women volunteers. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 15(2), 205-219.
- Liao-Troth, M. A. (2001). Attitude differences between paid workers and volunteers. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 11(4), 423-442.
- Liao-Troth, M. A. (2005). Are they here for the long haul? The effects of functional motives and personality factors on the psychological contracts of volunteers. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 34(4), 510-530.
- Little, H. (1999). *Volunteers: How to Get Them, How to Keep Them: An Essential Guide for Volunteer Leaders and Staff of Professional, Trade and Charitable Nonprofit Organizations*. Naperville, IN: Panacea Press.
- Lopez, M. H. (2004). Fact sheet: Volunteering among young people. College Park, MD: The Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement, University of Maryland. Retrieved October 1, 2005, from http://www.civicyouth.org/PopUps/FactSheets/FS_Volunteering2.pdf

Macduff, N., & Dwyer-Morgan, T. (2001, March 15-16). The power to perform: A picture of the capacity of nonprofit volunteer programs to access and use information technology. Paper presented at Independent Sector's Spring Research Forum, Washington, DC. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.independentsector.org/pdfs/srf01/macduff.pdf>

Sunden, R., & Raskoff, S. A. (2000). Ports of entry and obstacles: Teenagers' access to volunteer activities. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 11(2)179-197.

Weisz, L.. (2005, Summer). Reaching a new generation: young professionals bring their expertise to an established agency. *Alliance for Children & Families Magazine*, 18-21. Retrieved October 11, 2005, from http://www.alliance1.org/magazine/Summer_2005/SU05_reachinganewgen.pdf

Volunteering and Retirement

Center for Health Communication, Harvard School of Public Health. (2004). Reinventing aging: baby boomers and civic engagement. Boston, MA: Harvard School of Public Health. Retrieved November 7, 2005, from <http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/chc/reinventingaging/Report.pdf>

Hart, P. (2002, August). *The new face of retirement: An ongoing survey of american attitudes on aging*. San Francisco: Civic Ventures. Retrieved April 1, 2005, from http://www.civicventures.org/publications/surveys/new_face_of_retirement/2002_survey_analysis.pdf

Johnson, C. (2003, October 8-10). The strength of the infrastructure of volunteer agencies and its capacity to absorb 'baby boomer' volunteers. Paper Presented at the Conference on Baby Boomers and Retirement: Impact on Civic Engagement.

Johnson, C., Cobb, M, Parel, M., Bouvier, M, & Fauss, J. (2004). *50+ volunteering: Working for stronger communities*. Washington, DC: Points of Light Foundation. Retrieved November 7, 2005, from <http://www.pointsoflight.org/downloads/pdf/networks/olderadult/WorkingForStrongerCommunities.pdf>

Lindbloom, D. (2001). New age of volunteerism: Baby boomers and the new age of volunteerism. Washington, DC: National Service Fellowship Program, Corporation for National Service. Retrieved October 3, 2005, from <http://nationalserviceresources.org/filemanager/download/465/lindblom.pdf>

Kutner, G., & Love, J. (2003). Time and money: An in-depth look at 45+ volunteers and donors. Washington, DC: AARP. Retrieved September 25, 2005, from http://assets.aarp.org/rgcenter/general/multic_2003.pdf

Mark, M., & Waldman, M. (2002). Recasting retirement: New perspectives on aging and civic engagement. San Francisco: Civic Ventures. Retrieved October 29, 2005, from http://www.civicventures.org/publications/booklets/Recasting_Retirement.pdf

Smith, D. B. (2004). Volunteering in retirement: Perceptions of midlife workers. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 33(1), 55-73.

Fundraising Professionals

Conry, J. C. (1998, Spring). Gender and pay equity in the fundraising workforce: Implications for practice and policy. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 19, 73-91.

- Grossnickle, T. R., & Aldrich, E. E. (2004, Spring). Compensation: The intersection of valuation and accountability. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 43, 51-62.
- Hall, H. (1999, April 22). Charities offer new incentives to find and keep fund raisers. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 11(13).
- Hall, H. (1999, April 22). Creative recruiting can help charities attract fund raisers, experts say. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 11(13).
- Lee, C. N. (2004, Summer). The case for diversifying: Beyond the usual suspects. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 44, 57-72.
- Levy, J. D. (2004, Spring). The growth of fundraising: Framing the impact of research and literature on education and training. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 43, 21-30.
- Looney, C. A., & Looney, J. K. (2005, fall). Attracting top talent and retaining stars. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 49, 99-107.
- Mesch, D. J., & Rooney, P. M. (2005, November 19-21). Determinants of compensation for fundraising professionals: A study of pay, performance, and gender differences. Paper Presented at the 34th Annual Conference of the Association for Research on Nonprofit Organizations and Voluntary Action, Washington, DC.
- Millikan, D. (2005). Moving backwards: Women in fundraising earn less than men, and the gap is widening. Boston: The Simmons School of Management, Simmons College. Retrieved December 10, 2005, from <http://www.simmons.edu/about/news/releases/2005/443.shtml>
- Moore, C. J. (2005, October 13). Motivating young fund raisers: Tips for managers. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 18(1).
- Moore, C. J. (2005, October 13.). Wanted: A little respect: Twentysomething fund raisers find age poses a challenge in dealings with co-workers and donors. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 18(1).
- Schwinn, E. & Sommerfeld, M. (2002, April 18). Revolving-door dilemma: High turnover of fund raisers causes big problems at charities. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 14(13).
- Shaw-Hardy, S. (1998, Spring). Executive women in development: career paths, life choices, and advancing to the top. *New Directions in Philanthropic Fundraising*, 19, 27-52.
- Wagner, L., & Ryan, J. P. (2004, Spring). Achieving diversity among fundraising professionals. *New Directions in Philanthropic Fundraising*, 43, 63-70.
- Weinstein, S. (2004, Summer). Transformational leadership and the resource development professional. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 44, 5-55.
- Williamson, C. (2004). *2004 Compensation and Benefits Study*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Fundraising Professionals.

Sub-Sector Workforce Trends and Needs

Research in this section identifies the trends and needs of the human services and philanthropic careers sub-sectors. The research is varied and includes sub-sector workforce health, recruitment and retention, diversity, workforce shortages, and salaries and benefits. For the purpose of this project the human service sector is defined as those organizations and individuals that serve low-income children, youth and families in the areas of child care, child welfare, youth service, juvenile justice, employment and training, emergency assistance, and family services. In order to limit the project's scope, research on the health (hospitals/healthcare), advocacy, housing and community development, and education sub-sectors, as well as trade associations and credit unions, were not included.

Human Services/Frontline Workers

- Anderson, W. L., Wiener, J. M., Greene, A. M., & O'Keefe, J. (2004). *Direct Service Workforce Activities of the Systems Change Grantees*. Baltimore, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from <http://www.hcbs.org/files/35/1708/CMSWorkforce.pdf>
- Barth, M. C. (2003). Social work labor market: A first look. *Social Work*, 48(1), 9-19.
- Bernotavicz, F. (n.d.). *Retention of Child Welfare Caseworkers: A Report*. Washington, DC: National Child Welfare Resource Center for Organizational Improvement, A Service of the Children's Bureau, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://muskie.usm.maine.edu/helpkids/pubstext/retention.htm>
- De Vita, C. J., Twombly, E. C., & Montilla, M. D. (2002). *Toward better child care worker compensation: advocacy in three states*. Washington, D.C.: Center on Nonprofits and Philanthropy, The Urban Institute. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from <http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/410464.pdf>
- De Vita, C. J. & Twombly, E. C. (2003). The precarious billion dollar sector: Nonprofit human services in the Pittsburgh metropolitan area. A report for The Forbes Fund. Washington, DC: Center on Nonprofits and Philanthropy, The Urban Institute. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/410879_Billion_Dollar_Sector.pdf
- Fiscal Policy Institute. (2002). Labor market trends and issues in the New York City non-profit social services sector. New York: Fiscal Policy Institute. Retrieved September 8, 2005, from <http://www.fiscalpolicy.org/SCsocialservices.pdf>
- Grønbjerg, K. A. (2001). The U.S. nonprofit human service sector: A creeping revolution. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 30(2).
- Hewitt, A. & K. Lakin, C. (2001). Issues in the direct support workforce and their connections to the growth, sustainability and quality of community supports. A Technical Assistance Paper of the National Project: Self-Determination for People with Developmental Disabilities. Minneapolis, MN: Research and Training Center on Community Living, University of Minnesota. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from <http://rtc.umn.edu/pdf/hcfa.pdf>
- Huebner, A. J., Walker, J. A., & McFarland, M. (2003). Staff development for the youth development professional: A critical framework for understanding the work. *Youth & Society*, 35(2), 204-225.

- Jamison, I. B. (2003). Turnover and retention among volunteers in human service agencies. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 23(2), 114-132.
- Light, P. (2003, March). *The Health of the Human Services Workforce*. Center for Public Service, Brookings Institution and Wagner School of Public Service, New York University. Retrieved September 9, 2005, from <http://www.brookings.edu/gs/cps/humanservices.pdf>
- McCain, M., Gill, P., Wills, J., & Larson, M. (2004). *Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities of Youth Service Practitioners: The Centerpiece of a Successful Workforce Development System*. Washington, DC: National Collaborative on Workforce and Disability for Youth. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from <http://www.ncwd-youth.info/assets/background/ksa.pdf>
- Montilla, M. D., Twombly, E., & De Vita, C. J. (2001). Models for increasing child care worker compensation. *Charting Civil Society*, No. 8, A Series by the Center on Nonprofits and Philanthropy. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/cnp_8.pdf
- Nittoli, J. (2003). *The Unsolved Challenge of System Reform: The Condition of the Frontline Human Services Workforce*. Baltimore, MD: Annie E. Casey Foundation. Retrieved September 20, 2005, from http://www.aecf.org/initiatives/hswi/report_rev.pdf
- Petty, G. C., Brewer, E. W., & Brown, B. (2005). Job satisfaction among employees of a youth development organization. *Child & Youth Care Forum*, 34(1), 57-73.
- Rome, S. H. (2003). Serving high-risk children: Recruiting through student loan forgiveness. *Children and Youth Review*, 25(10), 805-821.
- Stone, R. I. & Wiener, J. M. (2001). Who will care for us? Addressing the long-term care workforce crisis. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/Who_will_Care_for_Us.pdf
- Test, D. W., Flowers, C., Hewitt, A., & Solow, J. (2003). Statewide study of the direct support staff workforce. *Mental Retardation*, 41(4), 276-285.
- Whitebook, M. (n.d.). Who's missing at the table? leadership opportunities and barriers for teachers and providers. Washington, DC: Center for the Child Care Workforce. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.ccw.org/pubs/whosmissing.pdf>
- Whitebook, M., & Eichberg, A. (n.d.). Finding a better way: Defining and assessing public policies to improve child care workforce compensation. Washington, DC: Center for the Child Care Workforce. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.ccw.org/pubs/betterway.pdf>
- Whitebook, M. (2002). *Working for Worthy Wages: The Child Care Compensation Movement, 1970-2000*. Berkeley, CA: Institute of Industrial Relations, Center for the Study of Child Care Employment. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from <http://repositories.cdlib.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1000&context=iir/cscce>
- Wulsin, L., Shofet, A., Ahmed, R., & Bassoff, B. (1999). *Issues and Approaches to Increasing Health Coverage of the Child Care Workforce*. Prepared for the Child Care Provider Health Insurance Project.

Zlotnik, J. L., DePanfilis, D., Daining, C., & McDermott Lane, M. (2000). *Factors Influencing Retention of Child Warfare Staff: A Systematic Review of Research*. Washington, D.C.: Institute for the Advancement of Social Work Research.

Philanthropic Careers

Burbridge, L. C., Diaz, W. A., Odendahl, T., & Shaw, A. (2002). *The Meaning and Impact of Board and Staff Diversity in the Philanthropic Field*. San Francisco: Joint Affinity Groups.

Carson, E. D. (1994). Diversity and equity among foundation frantmakers. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 4(3), 331-344.

Fulton, K., & Blau, A. (2005). Imagining the future of philanthropy: Looking back from 2025. Global Business Network and Monitor Institute. Retrieved September 29, 2005, from http://www.futureofphilanthropy.org/files/philTom_1ImaginingFuture.pdf

Marga Incorporated. (2005). *Race, Culture, Power, and Inclusion in Foundations*. A Report Conducted for the Annie E. Casey Foundation. New York: Marga Incorporated. Retrieved December 14, 2005, from <http://www.margainc.com/Documents/Race%20Culture%20Power%20and%20Inclusion%20in%20Foundations>

Matthews, M. (2005). Fellowships in philanthropy: Policy and curriculum brief. Prepared for the San Francisco Foundation. San Francisco: Ascent Associates. Retrieved September 23, 2005, from <http://www.epip.org/fip.pdf>

Minnesota Council on Foundations. (2000). Working toward diversity II: A report on progress and strategies from the Minnesota Council on Foundations' second diversity survey. Minneapolis, MN: Minnesota Council on Foundations. Retrieved September 20, 2005, from <http://www.mcf.org/mcf/resource/McfDiversityReport.pdf>

Newman, D. S. (2002). *Opening Doors: Pathways to Diverse Donors*. San Francisco; Jossey-Bass.

Odendahl, T., & Fischer, M. (1996). Gender and the professionalization of philanthropy." *Essays on Philanthropy*, [No. 19]. Indianapolis, IN: Indiana University Center on Philanthropy.

Petty, J. G. (2002). *Cultivating Diversity in Fundraising*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Pitz, W., & Sen, R. (2004). Short changed: Foundation giving and communities of color. Oakland, CA: Applied Research Center. Retrieved October 28, 2005, from <http://www.arc.org/downloads/shortchanged.pdf>

Scharf, A., Lizardo, R., & Olsen, L. (2004). *Leading by Example: Diversity, Inclusion, and Equity in Community Foundations*. A Project of the Coalition of Community Foundations for Youth and California Tomorrow. Oakland, CA: California Tomorrow. Retrieved November 11, 2005, from http://www.ccfy.org/toolbox/docs/LBE_CA.pdf

Shiao, J. L. (2005). *Identifying Talent, Institutionalizing Diversity: Race and Philanthropy in Post-Civil Rights America*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Siska, D. M. (2002, July 25). Foundation programs aim to increase diversity among grant-making staffs. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*,.

General Trends in the U.S. Workforce

This section contains research that pertains to relevant trends in the U.S. workforce, such as general workforce trends, changes in national demographics, trends in retirement, and sector-switching among the public, for-profit, and nonprofit sectors.

- Appelbaum, E. (2003, June 16-18). The transformation of work and employment in the U.S.: Challenges, opportunities and lessons. Paper presented at the conference on "Workforce/Workplace Mismatch? Work, Family, Health and Well-Being," Washington, DC. Retrieved October 20, 2005, from <http://www.popcenter.umd.edu/conferences/nichd/papers/appelbaum.pdf>
- Bond, J. T., Galinsky, E., Kim, S. S., & Brownfield, E. (2005). *2005 national study of employers: Highlights of findings*. New York: Families and Work Institute. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from <http://familiesandwork.org/summary/2005nsesummary.pdf>
- Boushey, H., Rosnick, D., & Baker, D. (2005, August). Gender bias in the current economic recovery: Declining employment rates for women in the 21st century. Washington, DC: Center for Economic and Policy Research. Retrieved September 14, 2005, from http://www.cepr.net/publications/labor_markets_2005_08_29.pdf
- Diversity Pipeline Alliance. (2002). *The pipeline report: The status of minority participation in business education*. McLean, VA: Diversity Pipeline Alliance. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from http://dpadev.amsasp.com/docs/pipeline_report.pdf
- Diversity Pipeline Alliance. (2003). Building leaders, building business: Improving minority participation in business. McLean, VA: Diversity Pipeline Alliance. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from http://www.diversitypipeline.org/docs/pipeline_report2003.pdf
- Diversity Pipeline Alliance. (2004). *The 2014 project: Building a diverse pipeline from middle school to the 21st century workforce*. McLean, VA: Diversity Pipeline Alliance. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from (<http://www.diversitypipeline.org/Uploads/220390DPA%20report%20final.pdf>)
- Employment Policy Foundation. (2005). Handbook on 21st century working women. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Foundation. Retrieved September 27, 2005, from <http://www.epf.org/pubs/monographs/2005/handbook/handbook.pdf>
- Galinsky, E., Kim, S. S., & Bond, J. T. (2001). Feeling overworked: When work becomes too much. New York: Families and Work Institute. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from <http://familiesandwork.org/summary/overwork.pdf>
- Harris, L. (2005, July-August). What's a youngster to do? The education and labor market plight of youth in high-poverty communities. *Clearinghouse REVIEW Journal of Poverty Law and Policy*, 126-134. Retrieved September 26, 2005, from http://www.clasp.org/publications/market_plight_of_youth.pdf
- Judy, R. W., & D'Amico, C. (1997). *Workforce 2020: Work and Workers in the 21st Century*. Indianapolis, IN: Hudson Institute.
- Karoly, L. A., & Panis, C. W. A. (2004). The 21st century at work: Forces shaping the future workforce and workplace in the United States. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Labor. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation. Retrieved September 24, 2005, from http://www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/2004/RAND_MG164.pdf

- Kelly, P. J. (2005). As America becomes more diverse: The impact of state higher education inequality. Boulder, CO: National Center for Higher Education Management Systems. Retrieved December 6, 2005, from <http://www.higheredinfo.org/raceethnicity/InequalityPaperNov2005.pdf>
- Luker, B., Cobb, S. L., & Luker, W. A. (2001). Discrimination, inequality, and the competitive model of US education. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 28(10), 987-1002.
- Moncarz, R., & Reaser, A. (2002). The 2000-10 job outlook in brief. *Occupational Outlook Quarterly*, 46(1), 2-43.
- Toossi, M. (2004, February). Labor force projections to 2012: The graying of the U.S. workforce, *Monthly Labor Review*, 37-57.
- Toossi, M. (2005, November). Labor force projections to 2014: Retiring boomers. *Monthly Labor Review*, 25-44.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2004). *Worker health chartbook, 2004*. Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved September 24, 2005, from http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/chartbook/pdfs/Chartbook_2004_NIOSH.pdf

Retirement Trends

- Brown, K. S. (2003). Staying ahead of the curve 2003: The AARP working in retirement study. Washington, DC: AARP. Retrieved October 7, 2005, from http://assets.aarp.org/rgcenter/econ/multiwork_2003.pdf
- Civic Ventures. (2005). New face of work survey. San Francisco: Civic Ventures. Retrieved October 29, 2005, from http://www.civicventures.org/publications/surveys/new_face_of_work/new_face_of_work.pdf
- Korczyk, S. M. (2004). Is early retirement ending? Washington, DC: AARP Public Policy Institute. Retrieved October 7, 2005, from http://assets.aarp.org/rgcenter/post-import/2004_10_retire.pdf
- Pew Research Center. (2005). Baby boomers approach age 60: From the age of Aquarius to the age of responsibility. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center. Retrieved September 26, 2005 from http://pewtrusts.org/pdf/PRC_boomers_120805.pdf
- Rix, S. E. (2004). Aging and work—A view from the United States. Washington, DC: AARP Public Policy Institute. Retrieved October 7, 2005, from http://assets.aarp.org/rgcenter/econ/2004_02_work.pdf

Changing Demographics

- Bond, J. T., Thompson, C., Galinsky, E., & Prttas, D. (2002). Highlights of the national study of the changing workforce: Executive summary. New York: Families and Work Institute. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from <http://www.familiesandwork.org/summary/nscw2002.pdf>
- Families and Work Institute. (2004). Generation & gender in the workplace. New York: Families and Work Institute. Retrieved October 10, 2005, from <http://familiesandwork.org/eproducts/genandgender.pdf>
- Lopez, M. H. (2002). Fact sheet: Youth demographics. College Park, MD: The Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement, University of Maryland. Retrieved October 1, 2005, from http://www.civicyouth.org/PopUps/FactSheets/FS_Youth_Demographics.PDF

Rappaport, A., Bancroft, E., & Okum, L. (2003). The aging workforce raises new talent management issues for employers. *Journal of Organizational Excellence*, 23(1), 55-66.

Sector-Switching

Bridgestar. (n.d.). Bridging skills. Boston: Bridgestar. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.bridgestar.org/Documents/BridgingSkills.org>

Bridgestar. (n.d.). Bridging the nonprofit & for-profit sectors: Reflections from the field. Boston: Bridgestar. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.bridgestar.org/Documents/BridgingNonprofitForProfit.pdf>

Bridgestar. (n.d.). Practical advice for switching into the nonprofit sector. Boston: Bridgestar. Retrieved October 30, 2005, from <http://www.bridgestar.org/Documents/PracticalAdviceforSwitching.pdf>

King, R. M. (1992). *Nonprofit Careers for Business Professionals: The Complete Guide for Taking your Business Skills to the Nonprofit Sector*. Scoville Corporation.

Panepinto, P. (2005, April 14). "Making the move: Nonprofit workers seeking for-profit jobs have plenty of transferable skills—if they can get in the door." *The Chronicle of Philanthropy*, 17, 13,.

Stein, T. S. (2002). *Workforce Transitions from the Profit to the Nonprofit Sector*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

About American Humanics and the *Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers*

American Humanics (www.humanics.org) is a national alliance of 72 colleges and universities and 21 national nonprofit partners. Our mission is to educate, prepare, and certify professionals to lead and strengthen nonprofit organizations. We are the only national organization focusing on undergraduate nonprofit management education. American Humanics' *Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers* is a national campaign to recruit, prepare, and retain the next generation of nonprofit sector leadership, and ensure that this leadership represents the diversity of the populations our sector serves. In 2006, American Humanics agreed to facilitate the launch of the Nonprofit Sector Workforce Coalition – a broad-based coalition of national organizations working collectively on (a) connecting talented, skilled, and diverse young people to nonprofit sector careers; and (b) helping nonprofit organizations recruit, retain, and cultivate the leadership they will need in the decades ahead. Integral to this effort is supporting and disseminating research on a range of nonprofit sector workforce issues.

Researcher Bio

R. Patrick Halpern is a research consultant for American Humanics' *Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers*. A recent graduate of Virginia Tech's graduate program in Public and International Affairs, he currently serves as Operations Manager for Offender Aid and Restoration (OAR) of Richmond, an organization that assists ex-offenders as they resettle their respective communities. Other publications by Patrick include, "Behind Closed Doors: Elite Politics, Think Tanks, and U.S. Foreign Policy," published in *Insight Turkey* (2005) and his graduate research, "Information and Accountability: Reporting in a Nonprofit Serving the Homeless," can be retrieved at http://www.iga.vt.edu/papers/majorpaper_halpern.pdf. Patrick currently resides in Williamsburg, VA.

Contact Information

Please direct all correspondence regarding American Humanics, its *Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers*, or the Nonprofit Sector Workforce Coalition to:

Stephen Bauer
Director, Initiative for Nonprofit Sector Careers
American Humanics
1100 Walnut St., Suite 1900
Kansas City, MO 64106
Phone: 800/343-6466, x108
Fax: 816/531-3527
sbauer@humanics.org
www.humanics.org

American Humanics hopes to update this document annually. If you would like to submit recommendations for literature to include, we would welcome the input. Please send recommendations to Stephen Bauer, whose contact information is above.